

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	C SURESH KUMAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.13</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.83		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.67		
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	C SURESH KUMAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.44</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.25		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25		
10.Overall rating	3.62	Very Good (%): 62.50	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	D SARALA KUMARI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.12</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.67		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.67		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.83		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	D SARALA KUMARI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.30</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.38		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.62		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.12		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.12		
10.Overall rating	3.12	Very Good (%): 75.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	K LAKSHMI DEVI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.48</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.67		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17		
10.Overall rating	3.50	Very Good (%): 66.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	K LAKSHMI DEVI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.56</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.62		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.75		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.38		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.62		
10.Overall rating	3.25	Very Good (%): 87.50	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	M NARENDRA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.21</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.25		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.12		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.88		
10.Overall rating	3.12	Very Good (%): 75.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	M NARENDRA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.67	<b>Grade: 2.55</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.67		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.67		
10.Overall rating	2.50	Very Good (%): 33.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIOCHEMISTRY	Year: 2
Name: N CH VARADA CHARYULU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.25	<b>Grade: 2.64</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.75	<b>G</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.62	<b>O</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.75	<b>O</b>
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.50	<b>D</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.62	Very Good (%): 25.00
10.Overall rating	2.38	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIOCHEMISTRY	Year: 1
Name: N CH VARADA CHARYULU	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	<b>Grade: 2.97</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.33	<b>G</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.67	<b>O</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17	<b>O</b>
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.83	<b>D</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.50	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: C M ANURADHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	<b>Grade: 3.48</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.37	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.74	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.05	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.58	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.63	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.16	Very Good (%): 73.68
10.Overall rating	3.68	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: D MURALIDHAR RAO	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	<b>Grade: 3.50</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.63	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.58	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.42	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.42	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.32	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.53	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good (%): 78.95
10.Overall rating	3.53	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: G.H.PHILIP	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.78</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.84	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.89	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.89	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.79	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.74	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.68	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.68	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.58	Very Good (%): 94.74
10.Overall rating	3.74	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: S ANITHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	<b>Grade: 3.77</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.74	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.84	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.68	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.84	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.89	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68	Very Good (%): 89.47
10.Overall rating	3.79	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	1
Name:	B RAVI PRASAD RAO	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	<b>Grade: 3.61</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.86		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.14		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36		Very Good (%): 92.86
10.Overall rating	3.64		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	
Name:	B RAVI PRASAD RAO	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.68</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.89		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.44		Very Good (%): 88.89
10.Overall rating	3.89		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BOTANY	Year: 2
Name: B RAVI PRASAD RAO	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	<b>Grade: 3.55</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.55	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.80	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.40	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.80	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.35	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.65	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.30	Very Good (%): 95.00
10.Overall rating	3.70	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	1
Name:	C SUDHAKAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	<b>Grade: 3.47</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.62		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.06		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.06		
10.Overall rating	3.50	Very Good (%): 75.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	2
Name:	C SUDHAKAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	<b>Grade: 3.50</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.55		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.70		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.05		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50		
10.Overall rating	3.55	Very Good (%): 95.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  
Department: BOTANY  
Name: C SUDHAKAR

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.49</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.67	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good (%): 77.78
10.Overall rating	3.56	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	1
Name:	R R VENKATA RAJU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.94		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.38		
10.Overall rating	3.75		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	
Name:	R R VENKATA RAJU	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.78</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.89		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.78		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.78		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.89		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.67		
10.Overall rating	3.89		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BOTANY	Year: 2
Name: R R VENKATA RAJU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.37</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.35	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.20	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.45	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.80	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20	Very Good (%): 80.00
10.Overall rating	3.45	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	2
Name:	S SANDHYA RANI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.60</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.70		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.70		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.35		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.75		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.60		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.70		
10.Overall rating	3.70		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	1
Name:	S SANDHYA RANI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.53	<b>Grade: 3.55</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.53		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.53		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.47		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.29		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.59		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.47		
10.Overall rating	3.59	Very Good (%): 88.24	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  
Department: BOTANY  
Name: S SANDHYA RANI

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.64</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.62	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.75	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 87.50
10.Overall rating	3.62	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	
Name:	S THIMMA NAIK	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.43	<b>Grade: 3.11</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.43		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.14		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.86		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.71		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.14		Very Good (%): 42.86
10.Overall rating	3.14		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY	Year:	2
Name:	S THIMMA NAIK	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.07</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.75		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.40		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.85		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.20		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.85		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.95		Very Good (%): 55.00
10.Overall rating	3.20		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: BOTANY	Year: 1
Name: S THIMMA NAIK	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.94	<b>Grade: 2.75</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.59	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.65	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.47	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.76	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.06	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.59	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.65	Very Good (%): 35.29
10.Overall rating	2.82	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: B SATYANARAYANA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.60	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.30	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.30	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.35	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25	
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 75.00

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: B SATYANARAYANA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.95	<b>Grade: 2.79</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.86	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.23	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.82	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.55	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.59	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.82	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.77	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.73	
10.Overall rating	2.55	Very Good (%): 27.27

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: J KEZIYA RANI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.76	<b>Grade: 2.56</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.67	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.67	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.43	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.24	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.52	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.52	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.67	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.57	Very Good (%): 14.29
10.Overall rating	2.52	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: J KEZIYA RANI	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.40	<b>Grade: 2.03</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.10	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	1.80	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.10	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.15	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	1.90	Very Good (%): 5.00
10.Overall rating	1.85	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	M NAGENDRA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.05	<b>Grade: 2.88</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.09		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.91		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.68		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.86		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.77		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.82	Very Good (%): 36.36	
10.Overall rating	2.82		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	M NAGENDRA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.32	<b>Grade: 3.08</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.11		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.16		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.95		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.16		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.89		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.05		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.95		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.11	Very Good (%): 52.63	
10.Overall rating	3.11		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	N GEETHANJALI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.74	<b>Grade: 2.59</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.53		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.63		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.32		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.47		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.53		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.79		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.58		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.63		Very Good (%): 10.53
10.Overall rating	2.63		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	N GEETHANJALI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.10	<b>Grade: 2.84</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.05		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.81		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.62		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.86		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.90		Very Good (%): 42.86
10.Overall rating	2.67		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	P DEVA RAJU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.89	<b>Grade: 2.68</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.63		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.68		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.53		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.63		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.53		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.89		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.63		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.68	Very Good (%): 21.05	
10.Overall rating	2.68		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	P DEVA RAJU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.68	<b>Grade: 2.61</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.82		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.55		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.77		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.41		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.64	Very Good (%): 31.82	
10.Overall rating	2.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: <b>SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES</b>	Academic year: <b>2018-19</b>
Department: <b>COMPUTER SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY</b>	Year: <b>2</b>
Name: <b>T BHASKAR REDDY</b>	Semester: <b>3/4</b>

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.05	<b>Grade: 2.92</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.79	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.05	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.89	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.84	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.84	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.79	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.79	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.05	Very Good (%): 31.58
10.Overall rating	3.11	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: <b>SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES</b>	Academic year: <b>2018-19</b>
Department: <b>COMPUTER SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY</b>	Year: <b>1</b>
Name: <b>T BHASKAR REDDY</b>	Semester: <b>1/2</b>

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.13	<b>Grade: 2.84</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.04	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.87	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.87	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.70	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.52	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.74	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.83	Very Good (%): 34.78
10.Overall rating	2.65	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: V RAGHUNATHA REDDY	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	<b>Grade: 3.50</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.63	<b>V</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.37	<b>E</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.42	<b>R</b>
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.32	<b>Y</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53	<b>G</b>
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.58	<b>O</b>
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.32	<b>O</b>
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good (%): 68.42
10.Overall rating	3.58	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	Year: 1
Name: V RAGHUNATHA REDDY	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	<b>Grade: 3.63</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.68	<b>V</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.55	<b>E</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64	<b>R</b>
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64	<b>Y</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64	<b>G</b>
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.73	<b>O</b>
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.68	<b>O</b>
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.59	Very Good (%): 77.27
10.Overall rating	3.45	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	GEOGRAPHY	Year:	1
Name:	A KRISHNA KUMARI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.95</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	GEOGRAPHY	Year:	2
Name:	A KRISHNA KUMARI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.33</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.83		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17		Very Good (%): 83.33
10.Overall rating	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	2
Name:	A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.59</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.62		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.65		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.48		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.55		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.48		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52	Very Good (%): 82.50	
10.Overall rating	3.65		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	
Name:	A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.73</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.88		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.76		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.65	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	3.79		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	2
Name:	A. NARESH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.45	<b>Grade: 3.39</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.37		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.18		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.26		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.42		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.37		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45		
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 73.68	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	A. NARESH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.15	<b>Grade: 3.01</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.97		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.15		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.94		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.03		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.12		
10.Overall rating	2.91	Very Good (%): 44.12	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  
Department: MATHEMATICS  
Name: A. NARESH

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	G SHOBHA LATHA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.66</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.74		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.79		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.53		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.59		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.74	Very Good (%): 97.06	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	
Name:	G SHOBHA LATHA	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: G SHOBHA LATHA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	<b>Grade: 3.73</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.64	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.74	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.51	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.74	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.72	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.79	Very Good (%): 97.44
10.Overall rating	3.82	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	
Name:	K. RAJANI	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	K. RAJANI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.35	<b>Grade: 3.15</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.15		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.47		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.94		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.24		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.15	Very Good (%): 64.71	
10.Overall rating	3.15		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: K. RAJANI	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.59	<b>Grade: 3.49</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.32	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.29	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.53	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good (%): 79.41
10.Overall rating	3.68	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	NAGARAJU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.01</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.04		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.12		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.96		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08		
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Very Good (%): 42.31
			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	2
Name:	NAGARAJU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.24</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.18		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.09		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.18		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.24		
10.Overall rating	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Very Good (%): 66.67
			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	
Name:	R SIVA PRASAD	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.40</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	R SIVA PRASAD	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	<b>Grade: 3.61</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.74		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.68		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.47		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.53		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68		
10.Overall rating	3.68		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	2
Name:	R SIVA PRASAD	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	<b>Grade: 3.79</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.82		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.76		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.76		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.74		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.76		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.76		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.82	Very Good (%): 94.74	
10.Overall rating	3.89		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	2
Name:	SHAIK SHARMILA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	<b>Grade: 3.49</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.52		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.21		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.45		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.39		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52		
10.Overall rating	3.61	Very Good (%): 78.79	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	MATHEMATICS	Year:	1
Name:	SHAIK SHARMILA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.09	<b>Grade: 3.06</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.05		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.05		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.95		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.91		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.05		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.18		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.95		
10.Overall rating	3.18	Very Good (%): 45.45	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  
Department: MATHEMATICS  
Name: SHAIK SHARMILA

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MICRO BIOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: B VENKATAPPA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.64</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.88	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.75	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.38	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.62	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.50	Very Good (%): 12.50
10.Overall rating	2.75	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	B.JESSIE	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.52	<b>Grade: 2.23</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.28		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.20		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.16		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.16		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.20		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.08		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.20		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.20		
10.Overall rating	2.32	Very Good (%): 12.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	B.JESSIE	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.22	<b>Grade: 3.14</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.12		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.25		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.09		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.06		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.16		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.09		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.12		
10.Overall rating	3.12	Very Good (%): 53.12	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	C KIRAN CHAKRAVARTHY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.36</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.39		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.32		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.13		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.39		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.32		
10.Overall rating	3.39		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	C KIRAN CHAKRAVARTHY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.55	<b>Grade: 3.23</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.36		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.32		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.18		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.14		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.09		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.14		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.14		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.18		
10.Overall rating	3.18		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	M V SRINIVASAN	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	<b>Grade: 3.70</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.71		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.68		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.65		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.68		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.68		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.74		Very Good (%): 83.87
10.Overall rating	3.71		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICAL EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	M V SRINIVASAN	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	<b>Grade: 3.63</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.71		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.46		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.58		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.58		Very Good (%): 83.33
10.Overall rating	3.75		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	1
Name:	B SATYANARAYANA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	<b>Grade: 3.42</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.83		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	2
Name:	B SATYANARAYANA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	<b>Grade: 3.28</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.60		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.20		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.20		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.20		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.40		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20		Very Good (%): 60.00
10.Overall rating	3.40		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	1
Name:	B.SUJATHA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.17</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.83		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.67		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	2
Name:	B.SUJATHA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	<b>Grade: 2.90</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.20		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.20		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.60		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.80		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.60		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.40		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.60		Very Good (%): 20.00
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	1
Name:	P.LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.67		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.33		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17		
10.Overall rating	3.67	Very Good (%): 83.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	2
Name:	P.LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	<b>Grade: 3.28</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.80		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.20		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.20		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.40		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.20		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.40		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 60.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	2
Name:	S SANKAR NAIK	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.46</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.20		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.40		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.20		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.40		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.60		
10.Overall rating	3.60	Very Good (%): 80.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SERICULTURE	Year:	1
Name:	S SANKAR NAIK	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.18</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 83.33	
10.Overall rating	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: STATISTICS	Year: 2
Name: C.SUJATHA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.30</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: STATISTICS	Year: 2
Name: M.CHITTIBABU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ZOOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: A.SOMASEKHAR	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.85	<b>Grade: 2.47</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.70	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.44	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.41	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.37	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.41	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.41	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.11	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.44	Very Good (%): 11.11
10.Overall rating	2.59	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	B SREEKANTH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	<b>Grade: 3.06</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 61.90
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.29		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.90		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.19		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.57		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.81		
10.Overall rating	3.19		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	B SREEKANTH	Semester:	3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.14	<b>Grade: 2.48</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.10	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	1.90	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.41	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.45	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.52	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.28	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.17	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.48	
10.Overall rating	2.38	Very Good (%): 24.14

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU
---	---------------------------------------

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	G HAROLD PHILIP	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.96	<b>Grade: 3.53</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.74		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.74		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.61		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.17		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.35		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.30		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.13		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52		
10.Overall rating	3.74	Very Good (%): 86.96	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	G HAROLD PHILIP	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.76	<b>Grade: 3.33</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.45		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.52		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.03		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.28		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.28		
10.Overall rating	3.38	Very Good (%): 75.86	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ZOOLOGY	Year: 2
Name: K PULLANNA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.24	<b>Grade: 2.31</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.20	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.44	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.24	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.24	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.32	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.48	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.36	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.20	Very Good (%): 4.00
10.Overall rating	2.40	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	S.P. RAKESH KULLAI SWAMY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.86	<b>Grade: 2.78</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.23		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.05		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.82		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.32		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.73	Very Good (%): 27.27	
10.Overall rating	2.64		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	S.P. RAKESH KULLAI SWAMY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.23	<b>Grade: 1.96</b> <b>S</b> <b>A</b> <b>T</b> <b>I</b> <b>S</b> <b>F</b> <b>A</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	1.85		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.12		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	1.92		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	1.77		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	1.92		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	1.92		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	1.96	Very Good (%): 3.85 <b>C</b>	
10.Overall rating	1.88		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	VV BALASUBRAMANYAM	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.41	<b>Grade: 2.66</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.68		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.73		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.73		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.68		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.41		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.14		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.45	Very Good (%): 31.82	
10.Overall rating	2.73		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ZOOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	VV BALASUBRAMANYAM	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.31	<b>Grade: 2.88</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.96		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.88		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.81		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.85		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.73		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.92		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.42		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.88	Very Good (%): 38.46	
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University



SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ADULT EDUCATION	Year: 2
Name: G VASUDEVAIAH	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.27</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 66.67

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ADULT EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: G VASUDEVAIAH	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	<b>Grade: 3.54</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.82	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.73	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.55	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.55	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36	
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 100.00

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	G VENKATA LAKSHMAMMA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.15</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.17		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.17		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	G VENKATA LAKSHMAMMA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.30	<b>Grade: 3.26</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.60		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.10		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.20		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.30		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.10		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.90		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20		Very Good (%): 90.00
10.Overall rating	3.40		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	G VIDYASAGAR REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.79</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.91		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.73		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.45		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.73		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.55		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.91		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.82		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	G VIDYASAGAR REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.55</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.67		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	H.T. TIPPE SWAMY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	<b>Grade: 3.28</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.40		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.30		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.10		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.30		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.10		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20		
10.Overall rating	3.40	Very Good (%): 80.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	H.T. TIPPE SWAMY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.07</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.17		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.67		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.33		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	2
Name:	S. PRATAP	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.10</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.17		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17		
10.Overall rating	3.17	Very Good (%): 66.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	S. PRATAP	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.33</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.22		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.11		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.33		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.22		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.56	Very Good (%): 88.89	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	A VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.63	<b>Grade: 3.45</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.56		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.19		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.65		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.37		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.42		
10.Overall rating	3.38	Very Good (%): 80.77	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	
Name:	A VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.40</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMMERCE	Year: 2
Name: A VENKATA RAMANA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	<b>Grade: 3.37</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.44	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.32	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.19	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.07	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.32	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.23	Very Good (%): 75.44
10.Overall rating	3.53	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	2
Name:	B SHOBHA RANI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.31</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.46		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.31		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.18		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.21		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.18		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.15		
10.Overall rating	3.44	Very Good (%): 71.79	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	B SHOBHA RANI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.44</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.49		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.51		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.22		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.35		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.35		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.27		
10.Overall rating	3.55	Very Good (%): 89.09	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS  
Department: COMMERCE  
Name: B SHOBHA RANI

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	D ANJANEYULU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.82	<b>Grade: 3.57</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.82		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.40		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.55		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.42		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.42	Very Good (%): 87.27	
10.Overall rating	3.58		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	
Name:	D ANJANEYULU	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.85</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	2
Name:	D ANJANEYULU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.51	<b>Grade: 3.30</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.18		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.60		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.20		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.18		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.16	Very Good (%): 67.27	
10.Overall rating	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	H LAJAPATHI RAI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.66	<b>Grade: 3.42</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.41		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.34		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.36		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.38		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.43		
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 80.36	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	
Name:	H LAJAPATHI RAI	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.20</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	2
Name:	H LAJAPATHI RAI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.69	<b>Grade: 3.44</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.49		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.33		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.22		
10.Overall rating	3.55	Very Good (%): 78.18	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	2
Name:	JAYALAKSHMI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.49	<b>Grade: 3.38</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.43		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.60		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.28		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.34		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25		Very Good (%): 77.36
10.Overall rating	3.47		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	JAYALAKSHMI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	<b>Grade: 3.58</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.78		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.73		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.45		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.49		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.45		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.49		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.40		Very Good (%): 89.09
10.Overall rating	3.49		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS  
Department: COMMERCE  
Name: JAYALAKSHMI

Academic year:  
Year:  
Semester:

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.50	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	1
Name:	K MADDILETI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.29</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.22		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.24		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.22		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.24		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.29		
10.Overall rating	3.36	Very Good (%): 69.09	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	
Name:	K MADDILETI	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 2.95</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMMERCE	Year: 2
Name: K MADDILETI	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.11	<b>Grade: 3.08</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.98	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.13	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.02	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.04	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.96	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.21	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.11	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good (%): 58.49
10.Overall rating	3.19	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	
Name:	KAMALAKAR	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.10</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50		Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMMERCE	Year:	2
Name:	KAMALAKAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.37</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.41		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.41		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.30		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.43		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.39		Very Good (%): 70.37
10.Overall rating	3.43		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: COMMERCE	Year: 1
Name: KAMALAKAR	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.44</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.45	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.55	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.38	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.47	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.35	Very Good (%): 80.00
10.Overall rating	3.44	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	Dr. K. THULASI NAIK	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.63</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.64		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.46		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.43		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.57		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.75	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	3.75		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	Dr. K. THULASI NAIK	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	<b>Grade: 3.43</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.44		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.24		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.28		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.40	Very Good (%): 80.00	
10.Overall rating	3.68		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	G VENKATA NAIDU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.93	<b>Grade: 3.72</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.75		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.61		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.82		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.75		
10.Overall rating	3.68	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	G VENKATA NAIDU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	<b>Grade: 3.48</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.52		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.68		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.52		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.40		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.52	Very Good (%): 80.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	K DASARATHA RAMAIAH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	<b>Grade: 3.62</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.89		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.64		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.57		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.54		
10.Overall rating	3.64	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	K DASARATHA RAMAIAH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.36</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 76.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.36		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.40		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.32		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.28		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.24		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.52		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.28		
10.Overall rating	3.48		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	M D BAVIAH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	<b>Grade: 3.41</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.48		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.40		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.60		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.32		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.32		Very Good (%): 84.00
10.Overall rating	3.48		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	M D BAVIAH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.82	<b>Grade: 3.71</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.89		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.57		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.68		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.68		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.75		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.71		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	M JAYARAJ	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.69</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.76		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.76		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.72		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.60		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.60		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.72		
10.Overall rating	3.56	Very Good (%): 92.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	M JAYARAJ	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	<b>Grade: 3.65</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.79		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.61		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.68		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.64		
10.Overall rating	3.79	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	1
Name:	M SIVA SANKARAIAH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	<b>Grade: 3.64</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.79		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.57		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.46		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.61		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68		
10.Overall rating	3.71	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS	Year:	2
Name:	M SIVA SANKARAIAH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	<b>Grade: 3.31</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.28		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.20		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.28		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.16		
10.Overall rating	3.40	Very Good (%): 72.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ENGLISH	Year: 1
Name: AFROZUDDIN	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.55	<b>Grade: 3.30</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.82	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.36	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.09	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.09	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.18	Very Good (%): 72.73
10.Overall rating	3.27	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ENGLISH	Year: 1
Name: IZAC	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	<b>Grade: 3.51</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.67	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.44	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.44	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ENGLISH	Year: 1
Name: S HUSSAIN VALI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.42	<b>Grade: 3.34</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.25	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.42	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.25	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.42	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ENGLISH	Year: 1
Name: V MADHAVI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	<b>Grade: 3.59</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.73	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.73	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.73	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.27	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45	Very Good (%): 72.73
10.Overall rating	3.73	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: <b>SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS</b>	Academic year: <b>2018-19</b>
Department: <b>HINDI</b>	Year: <b>1</b>
Name: <b>ABDUL MUNEEER</b>	Semester: <b>1/2</b>

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.50</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.83	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	
10.Overall rating	3.67	Very Good (%): 100.00

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: <b>SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS</b>	Academic year: <b>2018-19</b>
Department: <b>HINDI</b>	Year: <b>2</b>
Name: <b>ABDUL MUNEEER</b>	Semester: <b>3/4</b>

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.74</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.69	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.77	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.54	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.77	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.85	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.77	
10.Overall rating	3.77	Very Good (%): 92.31

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	1
Name:	C.SURESH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	<b>Grade: 3.30</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 66.67
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50		
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	2
Name:	C.SURESH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	<b>Grade: 3.64</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 92.31
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.54		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.69		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.69		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.62		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.69		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.62		
10.Overall rating	3.77	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	1
Name:	CLN. PRASAD	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	<b>Grade: 3.04</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.17		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	2
Name:	CLN. PRASAD	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.60</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.56		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.44		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.56		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.67		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		Very Good (%): 88.89
10.Overall rating	3.78		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: HINDI	Year: 2
Name: G.PADMAJA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.69</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.62	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.69	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.77	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.69	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.69	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.69	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.77	Very Good (%): 84.62
10.Overall rating	3.69	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: HINDI	Year: 2
Name: GHOUSIA BEGUM	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.54</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.42	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.42	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.58	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.58	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.58	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.58	Very Good (%): 91.67
10.Overall rating	3.58	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: HINDI	Year: 2
Name: T.SREENIVASULU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.75</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.92	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.69	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.77	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.77	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.69	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.69	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.69	Very Good (%): 92.31
10.Overall rating	3.69	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	2
Name:	Y. BHASKAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.78	<b>Grade: 3.57</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.56		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.44		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.78	Very Good (%): 88.89	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HINDI	Year:	1
Name:	Y. BHASKAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.35</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.17		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		
10.Overall rating	3.17	Very Good (%): 83.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	D. VENKATESH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.73	<b>Grade: 2.87</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.18		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.82		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.82		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.82		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.09		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.55	Very Good (%): 45.45	
10.Overall rating	2.73		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	D. VENKATESH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.25	<b>Grade: 3.28</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.08		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.42		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.08		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.42	Very Good (%): 75.00	
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	G. SREEDHAR GOWD	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.08	<b>Grade: 2.97</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.08		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.25		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.58		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.75		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.17		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 58.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	G. SREEDHAR GOWD	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.92	<b>Grade: 2.94</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.92		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.92		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.75		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08		
10.Overall rating	3.08	Very Good (%): 58.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	K MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.85</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.92		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.92		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.83		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.83		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.75		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.83		
10.Overall rating	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	K MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.73</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.92		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.92		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.58		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.42		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.83		
10.Overall rating	3.67	Very Good (%): 91.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	K.KRISHNA NAIK	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	<b>Grade: 3.69</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.73		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.91		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.91		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.45		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.82		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.82		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	K.KRISHNA NAIK	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	<b>Grade: 3.14</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.18		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.27		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.91		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.45		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 54.55
10.Overall rating	3.18		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	P VENUGOPAL REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	<b>Grade: 3.31</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.36		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.36		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.36		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.18		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36		
10.Overall rating	3.09	Very Good (%): 63.64	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	P VENUGOPAL REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.10	<b>Grade: 2.89</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.70		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.80		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.90		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.80		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.80		
10.Overall rating	2.80	Very Good (%): 30.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	1
Name:	T. SURYA PRAKASH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	<b>Grade: 3.57</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.55		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.55		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45		
10.Overall rating	3.64		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY	Year:	2
Name:	T. SURYA PRAKASH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	<b>Grade: 3.63</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.42		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.75		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.67		
10.Overall rating	3.75	Very Good (%): 91.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	3
Name:	BHAGATH KUMAR	Semester:	5/6
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	<b>Grade: 3.90</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.71		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.86		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.86		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71		
10.Overall rating	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	1
Name:	BHAGATH KUMAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.43</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 77.78
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		
10.Overall rating	3.56		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: LAW	Year: 2
Name: BHAGATH KUMAR	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.90	<b>Grade: 3.79</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.94	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.87	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.90	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.74	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.77	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.65	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68	Very Good (%): 93.55
10.Overall rating	3.87	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	1
Name:	J VIJAYA KUMAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.75		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.62		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.62		
10.Overall rating	3.75	Very Good (%): 87.50	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	2
Name:	J VIJAYA KUMAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	<b>Grade: 3.76</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.81		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.87		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.71		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.65		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.81		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.74		
10.Overall rating	3.81	Very Good (%): 93.55	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: LAW	Year: 3
Name: J VIJAYA KUMAR	Semester: 5/6

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	<b>Grade: 3.90</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	3
Name:	M SREERAMULU	Semester:	5/6
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.94</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.86		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.86		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.86		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.86		
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	2
Name:	M SREERAMULU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	<b>Grade: 3.74</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.71		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.65		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.81		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.68		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.77		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71		
10.Overall rating	3.87		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: LAW	Year: 1
Name: M SREERAMULU	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.62</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.62	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 87.50
10.Overall rating	3.62	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	1
Name:	S.V.PULLA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.87</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.88		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.88		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.88		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.88		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.88		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.75		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.88		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.88		Very Good (%): 87.50
10.Overall rating	3.88		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LAW	Year:	3
Name:	S.V.PULLA REDDY	Semester:	5/6
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.97</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: LAW	Year: 2
Name: S.V.PULLA REDDY	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.97	<b>Grade: 3.93</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.94	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.94	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.97	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.88	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.84	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.91	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.97	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	D RAVINDER	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.32	<b>Grade: 3.07</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.14		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.14		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.05		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.82		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.91		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.14		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.09		
10.Overall rating	2.86		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	D RAVINDER	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	<b>Grade: 3.65</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.63		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.63		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.74		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.47		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.79		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.74		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.74		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.42		
10.Overall rating	3.79		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	K DEVENDRA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.22	<b>Grade: 3.04</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.06		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.89		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.06		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.06		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.22		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.78		Very Good (%): 44.44
10.Overall rating	3.11		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	K DEVENDRA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.68</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.61		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.72		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		Very Good (%): 83.33
10.Overall rating	3.78		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	K. RAMACHANDRAPPA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.94	<b>Grade: 3.84</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.89		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.83		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.78		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.94		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.78		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.94		
10.Overall rating	3.78	Very Good (%): 94.44	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	K. RAMACHANDRAPPA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.36</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.40		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.40		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.25		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.55		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.30		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.30		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20		
10.Overall rating	3.35	Very Good (%): 70.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	P SURESH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.04</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.12		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.88		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.12		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.94		
10.Overall rating	2.88	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	P SURESH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	<b>Grade: 3.55</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.44		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.67		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.56	Very Good (%): 83.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	P. SIVASHANKAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	<b>Grade: 3.21</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.35		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.15		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.30		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.30		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.10		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.30		Very Good (%): 60.00
10.Overall rating	3.25		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	LIBRARY SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	P. SIVASHANKAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.56		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.61		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.61		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.67		Very Good (%): 88.89
10.Overall rating	3.67		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	B ANANDA NAIDU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.33</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.58		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.25		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.25		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.42	Very Good (%): 66.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	B ANANDA NAIDU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.02</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.17		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.83		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.83		
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 33.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	B V RAGHAVULU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	<b>Grade: 3.35</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.23		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.23		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.23		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.54		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.77		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.46		Very Good (%): 61.54
10.Overall rating	3.15		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	B V RAGHAVULU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.27</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.17		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	D CHANDRAMOULI REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	<b>Grade: 3.45</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.54		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.46		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.23		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.31		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.46		
10.Overall rating	3.54		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	D CHANDRAMOULI REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.10</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.33		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.67		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.83		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	2
Name:	G NARASIMHA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 2.93</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.67		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.33	Very Good (%): 33.33	
10.Overall rating	2.67		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year:	1
Name:	G NARASIMHA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.38	<b>Grade: 3.34</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.23		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.38		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.54		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.23	Very Good (%): 76.92	
10.Overall rating	3.23		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year: 2
Name: P. JAYPAL REDDY	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.08</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.20	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.80	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.20	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.80	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.80	
10.Overall rating	3.20	Very Good (%): 40.00

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE	Year: 1
Name: P. JAYPAL REDDY	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.48</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.58	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.25	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.67	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.58	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.58	
10.Overall rating	3.58	Very Good (%): 91.67

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	1
Name:	D. SREENIVASA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.30	<b>Grade: 3.06</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.60		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.10		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.80		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.10		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.90		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.80		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 60.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	2
Name:	D. SREENIVASA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.50</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.39		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.67		
10.Overall rating	3.56	Very Good (%): 83.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	2
Name:	G. SREEDHAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	<b>Grade: 3.64</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.76		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.59		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.94		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.53		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.53		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.41	Very Good (%): 88.24	
10.Overall rating	3.71		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	1
Name:	G. SREEDHAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.33</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 50.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.75		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.25		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	1
Name:	J. SRI LAKSHMI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.90</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.86		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.86		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.57		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.86		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.86		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	2
Name:	J. SRI LAKSHMI	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	2
Name:	K. BHASKAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.47</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.62		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.38		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.44		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 81.25	
10.Overall rating	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	1
Name:	K. BHASKAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 2.93</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.67		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.67		
10.Overall rating	2.00	Very Good (%): 33.33	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year: 2
Name: M. MUNINARAYANAPPA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.53	<b>Grade: 3.35</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.60	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.47	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.13	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.40	Very Good (%): 86.67
10.Overall rating	3.33	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	1
Name:	P. FIROZ BABU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	<b>Grade: 3.43</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 71.43
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.29		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.29		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.43		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.43		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.43		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71		
10.Overall rating	3.57		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK	Year:	2
Name:	P. FIROZ BABU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	<b>Grade: 3.45</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.57		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.43		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.43		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.36		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.07		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.57	Very Good (%): 78.57	
10.Overall rating	3.57		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name:	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: APPALRAJU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	<b>Grade: 3.01</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.55	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.91	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.91	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.91	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.82	Very Good (%): 27.27
10.Overall rating	2.91	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	B ANITHA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 100.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	B ANITHA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	<b>Grade: 3.44</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 68.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.68		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.36		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.32		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.48		
10.Overall rating	3.44		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	B KRISHNA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.51	<b>Grade: 3.28</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.49		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.11		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.23		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.23		
10.Overall rating	3.29		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	B KRISHNA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: B R MEGHARAJ	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.79</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.03	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.53	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.65	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.76	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.76	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.82	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.74	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.85	Very Good (%): 35.29
10.Overall rating	2.76	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	C N KRISHNA NAIK	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.33</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.34		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.31		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.31		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.34		
10.Overall rating	3.38	Very Good (%): 62.07	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	C N KRISHNA NAIK	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.70</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	CH KRISHNUDU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.35</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.38		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.47		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.32		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.38		
10.Overall rating	3.41	Very Good (%): 64.71	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	CH KRISHNUDU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: D PRABHAKAR	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.62	<b>Grade: 2.63</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.62	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.81	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.62	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.62	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.56	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.69	Very Good (%): 18.75
10.Overall rating	2.75	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: FAYAZ	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.52	<b>Grade: 2.42</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.40	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.44	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.28	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.36	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.36	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.40	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.60	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.48	Very Good (%): 8.00
10.Overall rating	2.36	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: K VENUGOPALA RAO	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.24</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.48	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.24	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.28	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.08	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good (%): 52.00
10.Overall rating	3.32	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	P MURALI KRISHNA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	P MURALI KRISHNA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	<b>Grade: 3.30</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.44		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.20		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.08		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.28		Very Good (%): 56.00
10.Overall rating	3.36		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	2
Name:	P PREMCHAND BABU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.49</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.42		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.46		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.42		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.46		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.46		Very Good (%): 69.23
10.Overall rating	3.58		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SKIM	Year:	1
Name:	P PREMCHAND BABU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 4.00</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: RAVINDRANATH	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.27	<b>Grade: 3.19</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.07	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.13	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.13	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.27	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.33	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.13	Very Good (%): 40.00
10.Overall rating	3.20	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: SKIM	Year: 2
Name: V SAILAJA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	<b>Grade: 3.62</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.70	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.65	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.70	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.61	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.43	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.57	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.57	Very Good (%): 82.61
10.Overall rating	3.61	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	AMARNATH R DAS	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.10</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	AMARNATH R DAS	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.87</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.82		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.91		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.91		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.91		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.82		
10.Overall rating	3.82	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	C RAMI REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.82	<b>Grade: 3.66</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.55		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.55		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.82		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.91	Very Good (%): 90.91	
10.Overall rating	3.45		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	C RAMI REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.20</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	G VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.92</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.91		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.82		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.82		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.91		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.91		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.91		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	G VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	KV AMARNATH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.10</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	KV AMARNATH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.91	<b>Grade: 3.87</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.82		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.91		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.91		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.91		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.82		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	1
Name:	R VIJAYA KRISHNA NAIDU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	SOCIOLOGY	Year:	2
Name:	R VIJAYA KRISHNA NAIDU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.91	<b>Grade: 3.79</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	Very Good (%): 90.91
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.82		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.73		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.73		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.73		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.82		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.73		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.73		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.82		
10.Overall rating	3.91		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	B. ASHOK KUMAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.97</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.03		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.88		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.97		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.06		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.09		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.75		
10.Overall rating	2.97	Very Good (%): 53.12	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	B. ASHOK KUMAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.90	<b>Grade: 2.89</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.81		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.10		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.62		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.95		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.81		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.86		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.95		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.10		
10.Overall rating	2.81	Very Good (%): 47.62	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	B. PURUSHOTHAM	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.16	<b>Grade: 3.03</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.94		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.03		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.09		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.97		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.06		
10.Overall rating	3.06	Very Good (%): 56.25	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	B. PURUSHOTHAM	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.06	<b>Grade: 3.15</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.82		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.18		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.41		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.18		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.35		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.24		
10.Overall rating	2.94	Very Good (%): 58.82	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	G BALA SUBRAMANYAM	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.70	<b>Grade: 3.53</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.65		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.60		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.60		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.30		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45		
10.Overall rating	3.75	Very Good (%): 85.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	G BALA SUBRAMANYAM	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.54</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.53		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.66		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.59		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.47		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.47		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.47		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.59	Very Good (%): 81.25	
10.Overall rating	3.53		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	G NARASIMHAN	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.87</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.91		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.94		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.88		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.75		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.84		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.81		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.88		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.81		Very Good (%): 96.88
10.Overall rating	3.91		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	G NARASIMHAN	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.72</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.76		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.86		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.48		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.67		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.67		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.76		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.90		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	J. PREMCHAND	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.37	<b>Grade: 3.16</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.11		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.26		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.21		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.11		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.95		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.05		
10.Overall rating	3.26		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	J. PREMCHAND	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.92</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.84		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.88		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.84		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.88		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.94		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.81		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91		
10.Overall rating	3.09		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	K. JAGADEESH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	<b>Grade: 3.53</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.70		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.80		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.40		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50		
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 85.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	K. JAGADEESH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.35</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.28		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.34		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.34		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.41		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.44		
10.Overall rating	3.41	Very Good (%): 75.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	1
Name:	N R SADASIVA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.89	<b>Grade: 3.84</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.84		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.79		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.74		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.95		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.68		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.79		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.95		
10.Overall rating	3.89	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	TELUGU	Year:	2
Name:	N R SADASIVA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	<b>Grade: 3.66</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.72		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.59		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.59		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.66		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.59		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.66		
10.Overall rating	3.69	Very Good (%): 90.62	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University



SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	B.H. ANNIE GRACE	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.09	<b>Grade: 2.96</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.18		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.91		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.91		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.82		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good (%): 36.36	
10.Overall rating	3.18		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: B.SURESH	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.99</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.04	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.87	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.04	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.83	Very Good (%): 47.83
10.Overall rating	3.09	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: G. SREERAMULU	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.22	<b>Grade: 3.02</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.33	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.06	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.89	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.06	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.72	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 55.56
10.Overall rating	3.11	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: GIBSONBABU PONNURI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	<b>Grade: 3.39</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.43	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.29	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.21	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.43	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.29	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.29	Very Good (%): 71.43
10.Overall rating	3.36	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	
Name:	J.GOPI	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.90</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	J.GOPI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.28</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.38		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.44		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.06		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.25		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25		Very Good (%): 68.75
10.Overall rating	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	K. NARAYANASWAMY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.92	<b>Grade: 2.92</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.08		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.23		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.77		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.85		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.92		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.85		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.69	Very Good (%): 53.85	
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	
Name:	K. SIVANANDA	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.60</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	K. SIVANANDA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	<b>Grade: 3.32</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.42		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.53		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.37		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.21		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.37		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.89		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.21		Very Good (%): 68.42
10.Overall rating	3.37		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	
Name:	K.P. VENKATA SUBBAIAH	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00		Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	K.P. VENKATA SUBBAIAH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.45	<b>Grade: 3.16</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.36		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.86		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.27		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.91		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.14		Very Good (%): 77.27
10.Overall rating	3.18		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: M. MAHABOOB BASHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.75	<b>Grade: 2.88</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.83	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.83	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.92	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.75	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.92	Very Good (%): 41.67
10.Overall rating	2.92	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	M. RAMA KRISHNA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.38	<b>Grade: 3.29</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.38		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.12		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.12	Very Good (%): 75.00	
10.Overall rating	3.25		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: P. BHASKAR	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 2.97</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.09	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.82	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.91	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.91	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.91	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.82	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good (%): 54.55
10.Overall rating	3.27	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: P. RAJESHWARI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.55	<b>Grade: 3.49</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.55	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.55	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.27	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.36	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.55	Very Good (%): 81.82
10.Overall rating	3.64	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: R. RAMUDU	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.64</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.96	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.96	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.85	Very Good (%): 48.15
10.Overall rating	3.19	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: RUPLA NAIK	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	<b>Grade: 3.02</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.10	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.90	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.90	Very Good (%): 40.00
10.Overall rating	3.10	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	
Name:	T.N. NAGA LAKSHMI	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.70</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		
10.Overall rating	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	T.N. NAGA LAKSHMI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	<b>Grade: 3.46</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.63		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.53		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.37		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.32		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.42		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.32		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.47		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.37		
10.Overall rating	3.53	Very Good (%): 78.95	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: V. ANITHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.92	<b>Grade: 2.86</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.92	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.75	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.67	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.83	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.75	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.08	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.75	Very Good (%): 41.67
10.Overall rating	3.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	
Name:	Y. SUDHAKAR	Semester:	
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00	
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	EDUCATION	Year:	1
Name:	Y. SUDHAKAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.23	<b>Grade: 3.19</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.31		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.08		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.08		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.08		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good (%): 53.85	
10.Overall rating	3.31		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: EDUCATION	Year: 1
Name: Y. VARAPRASAD REDDY	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.78</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.83	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.67	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.83	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.83	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	J SREERAMULU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.14	<b>Grade: 3.17</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.11		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.19		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.08		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.22		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08		
10.Overall rating	3.33	Very Good (%): 50.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	J SREERAMULU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.66	<b>Grade: 3.39</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.28		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.64		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.31		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.28		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.31		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.26		
10.Overall rating	3.52	Very Good (%): 72.41	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	K ANITHA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	<b>Grade: 3.34</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.22		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.22		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.11		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.22		
10.Overall rating	3.44	Very Good (%): 72.22	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	K ANITHA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.57	<b>Grade: 3.42</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.68		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.46		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.43		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.27		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.39		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36		
10.Overall rating	3.48	Very Good (%): 83.93	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	K HUSSAIN REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	<b>Grade: 3.61</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.65		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.78		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.51		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.47		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.64		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.58		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.47		
10.Overall rating	3.76	Very Good (%): 90.91	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	K HUSSAIN REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.69	<b>Grade: 3.48</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.47		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.47		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.42		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.39		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.42		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36		
10.Overall rating	3.53	Very Good (%): 86.11	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	K SUDHAKAR BABU	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	<b>Grade: 3.49</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.65		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.24		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.65		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.29		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.47		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.47		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.38		
10.Overall rating	3.56	Very Good (%): 83.64	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	K SUDHAKAR BABU	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.08	<b>Grade: 3.06</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.19		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.06		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.08		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.92		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.06		
10.Overall rating	3.25	Very Good (%): 52.78	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: CHEMISTRY	Year: 1
Name: M C S SUBHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.32</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.45	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.15	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.25	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.19	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.21	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.15	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36	
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 81.13

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: CHEMISTRY	Year: 2
Name: M C S SUBHA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	<b>Grade: 3.07</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.14	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.03	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.94	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.09	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.06	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91	
10.Overall rating	3.17	Very Good (%): 57.14

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	P RAVINDRA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.72		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.66		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.52		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.38		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.44		
10.Overall rating	3.70	Very Good (%): 92.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	P RAVINDRA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.28</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.39		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.30		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.18		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.18		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.30		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.21		
10.Overall rating	3.30	Very Good (%): 63.64	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	P VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.54	<b>Grade: 3.43</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.54		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.43		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.26		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.43		
10.Overall rating	3.60	Very Good (%): 80.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	P VENKATA RAMANA	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.87	<b>Grade: 3.67</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.71		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.84		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.76		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.62		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.40		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.73	Very Good (%): 89.09	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	2
Name:	V KRISHNA REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	<b>Grade: 3.17</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.21		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.25		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.12		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.92		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25		Very Good (%): 62.50
10.Overall rating	3.08		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	CHEMISTRY	Year:	1
Name:	V KRISHNA REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.29	<b>Grade: 3.15</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.29		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.93		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.29		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.86		Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.29		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: B. ASHRAF	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.76	<b>Grade: 3.53</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.48	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.43	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.38	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.29	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.76	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good (%): 90.48
10.Overall rating	3.71	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: B. BILVIKA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.43	<b>Grade: 3.31</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.24	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.48	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.14	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.05	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.38	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	<b>Grade: 3.69</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.90	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.81	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.71	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.43	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.52	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good (%): 95.24
10.Overall rating	3.76	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: P. THIMMAIAH	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	<b>Grade: 3.78</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.90	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.81	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.86	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.67	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.71	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.71	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.76	Very Good (%): 95.24
10.Overall rating	3.81	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: R. HARINI	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	<b>Grade: 3.39</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.43	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.48	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.33	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.29	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.48	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.43	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: ELECTRONICS Name: U. MEENAKSHI	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
---	--

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.41</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.43	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.48	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.48	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.24	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.38	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.29	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.48	Very Good (%): 80.95
10.Overall rating	3.48	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: ELECTRONICS	Year: 1
Name: U. SUNITHA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.90	<b>Grade: 3.68</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.90	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.52	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.48	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.57	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good (%): 95.24
10.Overall rating	3.76	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.65</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: A. NARESH	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.55</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: G SHOBHA LATHA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.85</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: K. RAJANI	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.70</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: NAGARAJU	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.60</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: R SIVA PRASAD	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.75</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: MATHEMATICS	Year: 2
Name: SHAIK SHARMILA	Semester: 3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	K. RAGHAVENDRA RAO	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	<b>Grade: 3.60</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.72		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.77		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.70		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.49		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.42		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.53		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.44		
10.Overall rating	3.70		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	K. RAGHAVENDRA RAO	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	<b>Grade: 3.17</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.52		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.60		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.78		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.88		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.98		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.78		
10.Overall rating	3.28		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	K. RAMAGOPAL	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	<b>Grade: 3.69</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.58		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.84		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.70		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.47		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56		
10.Overall rating	3.86	Very Good (%): 93.02	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	K. RAMAGOPAL	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	<b>Grade: 3.52</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.42		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.80		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.60		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.35		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.32		
10.Overall rating	3.58	Very Good (%): 85.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	L. SIVASANKAR REDDY	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.55	<b>Grade: 2.61</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.52		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.35		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.92		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.68		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.80		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.55		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.68	Very Good (%): 35.00	
10.Overall rating	2.55		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	L. SIVASANKAR REDDY	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.28	<b>Grade: 3.04</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.98		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.93		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.16		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.09		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.95		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 53.49	
10.Overall rating	3.02		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	<b>Grade: 3.50</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.54		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.77		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.54		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.36		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.46		
10.Overall rating	3.59	Very Good (%): 87.18	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	<b>Grade: 3.80</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.79		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.84		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.88		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.84		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.70		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.72		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.70		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.67		
10.Overall rating	3.88	Very Good (%): 97.67	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	R. JEEVAN KUMAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	<b>Grade: 3.40</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.45		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.31		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.19		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.29		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.26		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45		
10.Overall rating	3.45	Very Good (%): 69.05	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	R. JEEVAN KUMAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.15	<b>Grade: 2.95</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.23		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.18		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.90		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.79		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.85		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.74		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.95		
10.Overall rating	3.03	Very Good (%): 46.15	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	1
Name:	T. SUBBA RAO	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	<b>Grade: 3.64</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.60		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.69		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.48		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.55		
10.Overall rating	3.67	Very Good (%): 88.10	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	PHYSICS	Year:	2
Name:	T. SUBBA RAO	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	<b>Grade: 2.98</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b> <b>D</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.18		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.28		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.90		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.77		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.82		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.82		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.95		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.77		
10.Overall rating	2.95	Very Good (%): 43.59	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: POLYMER SCIENCE	Year: 1
Name: K.CHOWDOJI RAO	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.88</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.88	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.75	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.88	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.88	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: POLYMER SCIENCE	Year: 1
Name: K.HUSSAIN REDDY	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	<b>Grade: 3.51</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.88	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.75	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.62	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 75.00
10.Overall rating	3.62	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	STATISTICS	Year:	1
Name:	K. PUSHPANJALI	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	<b>Grade: 3.21</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.31		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.06		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.75		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.12		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.25		
10.Overall rating	3.31		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	STATISTICS	Year:	2
Name:	K. PUSHPANJALI	Semester:	3/4

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.94	<b>Grade: 2.95</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>D</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.06	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.82	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.65	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.88	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.94	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.94	
10.Overall rating	3.00	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU
---	---------------------------------------

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	STATISTICS	Year:	1
Name:	P MD AKHTAR	Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.94	<b>Grade: 3.64</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.83		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.72		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.72		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.56		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.33		Very Good (%): 94.44
10.Overall rating	3.72		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

**SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM**  
**INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS**

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	STATISTICS	Year:	2
Name:	P MD AKHTAR	Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.24	<b>Grade: 3.08</b>  <b>V</b>  <b>E</b>  <b>R</b>  <b>Y</b>  <b>G</b>  <b>O</b>  <b>O</b>	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.47		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.35		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.88		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.71		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.06		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.06		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00		Very Good (%): 52.94
10.Overall rating	3.06		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: USIC & INSTRUMENTATION	Year: 1
Name: B RAMA MURTHY	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	<b>Grade: 3.78</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.77	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.85	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.77	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.77	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.85	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.77	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.92	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: USIC & INSTRUMENTATION	Year: 1
Name: C NAGARAJA	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	<b>Grade: 3.68</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.69	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.77	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.69	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.62	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.31	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.77	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.69	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.77	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM  
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES	Academic year: 2018-19
Department: USIC & INSTRUMENTATION	Year: 1
Name: K NAGABHUSHANA RAJU	Semester: 1/2

1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.83</b> <b>V</b> <b>E</b> <b>R</b> <b>Y</b> <b>G</b> <b>O</b> <b>O</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.92	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.83	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.83	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.75	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.75	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.75	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.83	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.83	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.83	

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR,  
Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  
G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University