College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIOCHEMISTRY C SURESH KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade:	3.13
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.83	E	•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>R</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	<b>T</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.17	G	
B.Ability of the	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.67	Very Good	(%): 50.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.33		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIOCHEMISTRY C SURESH KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.44
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.75	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	_
. •	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.25	F	2
issues	LUSS CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF TH		) Y	<b>7</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.25		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.25	<b> </b>	
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good	(%): 62.50

3.62

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

10.Overall rating

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	A	Academic year: 2018-19
Department:	BIOCHEMISTRY		Year: 1
Name:	D SARALA KUMARI		Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade: 3.12
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.67	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.67	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.83	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.50	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.33	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIOCHEMISTRY D SARALA KUMARI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade:	3.30
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.38	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.62	E	1
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.12	R	•
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.25	G	<b>i</b>
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.12	Very Good	(%): 75.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.12		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIOCHEMISTRY K LAKSHMI DEVI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.48
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.67	v	,
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	R	,
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	<b>Y</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good (	%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.50		
Overall Score : 3 (	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **BIOCHEMISTRY** Year: 2 K LAKSHMI DEVI 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.56** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.62 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.75 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.62 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.38 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.25 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.62 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.50 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 87.50 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.62 3.25 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BIOCHEMISTRY  Name: M NARENDRA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.21
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.25	· ·	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.38	E	•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.00	F	2
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25	Y	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.12		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.88	Very Good	(%): 75.00
10.Overall rating	3.12		
Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY;	FACTORY	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BIOCHEMISTRY  Name: M NARENDRA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.67	Grade:	2.55
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.67	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.00		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.33		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	_	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.50		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.67	Very Good	(%): 33.33
10.Overall rating	2.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	CACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BIOCHEMISTRY  Name: N CH VARADA CHARYULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.25	Grade:	2.64
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.75	0	<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.62		<b>)</b>
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.75		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.50		
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	_	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	2.50		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.50		
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.62	Very Good	(%): 25.00
0.Overall rating	2.38		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

INTE	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM ERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACH	HERS	
Department: BIOC	COLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY H VARADA CHARYULU	Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base o	of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.17	Grade:	2.97
2.Communication sk	xills of the Teacher 2.33	G	<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitr	ment of the Teacher 2.67		)
4.Ability of the teach	ner to generate interest in the subject 3.17		
5.Ability of the teach issues	ner to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.83		
6.Ability of the teach	ner to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.50		
7.Accessibility of the motivate further stud	e teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to dy and discussion)  3.50		
	ner to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to understanding of the course 2.83		
9.Giving sufficient tir	me for feedback from the students 3.17	Very Good	(%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.50		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~	- VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIO-TECHNOLOGY C M ANURADHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	Grade:	3.48
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.37	\ \ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.74		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.05	F	_
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.58		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.63		
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.16	Very Good	(%): 73.68
10.Overall ratin	ng	3.68		
(Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY;	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BIO-TECHNOLOGY D MURALIDHAR RAO		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge b	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	Grade: 3.50
2.Communicati	on skills of the Teacher	3.63	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.58	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.42	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.42	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to r study and discussion)	3.32	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.53	0
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good (%): 78.95
10.Overall ratir	g	3.53	
(Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY  Name: G.H.PHILIP		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.78
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.84	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.89		•
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.89		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.79		_
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.74	'	ļ
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.68		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.68		
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.58	Very Good	(%): 94.74
0.Overall rating	3.74		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BIO-TECHNOLOGY  Name: S ANITHA		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	Grade: 3.77
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.74	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.84	E
A.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.68	R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68	T
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.84	G
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.89	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.68	Very Good (%): 89.47
0.Overall rating	3.79	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: BOTANY Name: B RAVI PRASAD RAO		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	Grade: 3.61
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.86	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with envir ssues	onment/ social 3.14	R
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers	of the course 3.36	•
<ul> <li>Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availabil notivate further study and discussion)</li> </ul>	ity of the teacher to 3.71	G
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/ evaluate students' understanding of the course	Practicals to 3.64	0
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good (%): 92.86
0.Overall rating	3.64	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISE.	ACTORY: <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		RS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY B RAVI PRASAD RAO	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade: 3.68
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.89	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.67	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.56	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.44	Very Good (%): 88.89
10.Overall rati	ng	3.89	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Department: BOTANY	GE OF SCIENCES ASAD RAO		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the t	eacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	Grade:	3.55
2.Communication skills of	the Teacher	3.55	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of	f the Teacher	4.00		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the teacher to զ	generate interest in the subject	3.80	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to i	ntegrate course material with environment/ social	3.40	ļ ,	_
6.Ability of the teacher to i	ntegrate content with other papers of the course	2.80		•
7.Accessibility of the teach motivate further study and	ner in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to discussion)	3.35		
B.Ability of the teacher to devaluate students' underst	lesign Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to anding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving sufficient time for	feedback from the students	3.30	Very Good	(%): 95.00
10.Overall rating		3.70		
Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY	GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSAT	ISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAO	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by  $% \left\{ \left( 1\right) \right\} =\left\{ \left($ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY C SUDHAKAR	`	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	Grade: 3.47
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.69	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.62	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.06	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.56	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.44	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.06	Very Good (%): 75.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.50	
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	ON TEACH	HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY C SUDHAKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	Grade:	3.50
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.55	V	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.70		<u> </u>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.05	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15	_	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 95.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.55		
,			Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		RS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY C SUDHAKAR	Ye	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade: 3.49
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.67	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33	E
4.Ability of the	4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.44		_
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		3.33	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	ī
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.44	G O
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.56	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good (%): 77.78
10.Overall rati	ng	3.56	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY R R VENKATA RAJU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.94	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.56	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75	_	•
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.31	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.62	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.38		
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.38	Very Good	(%): 93.75
10.Overall rati	ng	3.75		
Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU	JRAM	
	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC	K ON TEACHE	RS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY R R VENKATA RAJU	Ye	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.78
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.89	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.78	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.78	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67	<u>.</u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.89	G O
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.44	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.67	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.89	
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY R R VENKATA RAJU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade:	3.37
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.50	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.35		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.20	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.45		<b>,</b>
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.80		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.20	Very Good	(%): 80.00
0.Overall rati	ng	3.45		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY S SANDHYA RANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.70	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.70		<b>:</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.35		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.55	F	8
ssues		0.00	Y	<b>7</b>
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15	_	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.75		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.60		)
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.70	Very Good	(%): 90.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.70		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU			
	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	ON TEACH	HERS	<u> </u>
College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES		Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	BOTANY S SANDHYA RANI		Year:	1
Name:	3 SANDITIA IVANI		Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.53	Grade:	3.55
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.53	V	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.71		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.53		_
· .	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.47	F	<b>K</b>
issues			Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.29	_	
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.59	6	•
motivate furth	er study and discussion)	0.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.82	C	
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good	(%): 88.24
10.Overall rat	ng	3.59		
(Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		RS
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BOTANY  Name: S SANDHYA RANI	Y	cademic year: /ear: Semester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade: 3.64
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.75	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.50	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues		R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	Y
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.75	G
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 87.50
0.Overall rating	3.62	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY;	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEE	DBACK ON TEACHE	KS (
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: BOTANY  Name: S THIMMA NAIK	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.43	Grade: 3.11
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.29	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.43	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.14	R
issues		Y
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.86	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher motivate further study and discussion)	to 3.00	G
000		0
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.71	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.14	Very Good (%): 42.86
10.Overall rating	3.14	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UN	NSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY S THIMMA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.07
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.75	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.40		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.85		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.20	F	2
issues	11 (1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	0.20	Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.25		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.85		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.95	Very Good	(%): 55.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.20		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL	IRΔM		
	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
Department:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES BOTANY S THIMMA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge ba	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.94	Grade:	2.75
2.Communication	on skills of the Teacher	2.59		}
3.Sincerity/ Con	nmitment of the Teacher	2.94		)
4.Ability of the t	eacher to generate interest in the subject	2.65		
5.Ability of the t issues	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.47		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.76				•
-	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.06		
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to hts' understanding of the course	2.59		
9.Giving sufficie	ent time for feedback from the students	2.65	Very Good	(%): 35.29
10.Overall rating	g	2.82		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY B SATYANARAYANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	Grade:	3.40
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.60	E	•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.30	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.30	<b>T</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.25	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.35		
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good	(%): 75.00
0.Overall rati	ng	3.45		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY B SATYANARAYANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.95	Grade:	2.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.86	G	ì
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.23		)
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 2.82				•
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.55		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.59	D	,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.82		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.77		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.73	Very Good (	(%): 27.27
10.Overall rati	ng	2.55		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY J KEZIYA RANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.76	Grade:	2.56
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.67	0	;
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.67		<b>)</b>
1.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.43		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.24		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.52		
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.52		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.67		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.57	Very Good	(%): 14.29
10.Overall rat	ing	2.52		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY** Year: 2 J KEZIYA RANI 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 2.03** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 2.40 G 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 2.10 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 1.80 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 2.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.10 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.15 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 5.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 1.90 1.85 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY M NAGENDRA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.05	Grade:	2.88
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.09	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.91		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.68		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.86		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.77		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.82		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	2.82	Very Good	(%): 36.36
10.Overall rati	ng	2.82		
Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Acader Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY Year:

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  Name: M NAGENDRA	١	Academic year: ⁄ear: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.32	Grade:	3.08
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.11	· ·	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.16	E	•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.95		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.16	F	_
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.89		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.05		
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.95		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.11	Very Good	(%): 52.63
10.Overall rating	3.11		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VFRY GOOD: 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD: 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY: <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFAC	TORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY N GEETHANJALI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.74	Grade:	2.59
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.53		<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.63		<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.32		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.47		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.53	<b>-</b>	,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.79		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.58		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.63	Very Good	(%): 10.53
10.Overall rati	ng	2.63		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	EVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU NCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		IERS	
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECH Name: N GEETHANJALI	HNOLOGY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived	l by you)	3.10	Grade:	2.84
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.05	G	<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	•	3.00		)
.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in th	ne subject	2.81		
Ability of the teacher to integrate course mate	erial with environment/ social	2.67		•
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.62			•	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the contivate further study and discussion)	class (availability of the teacher to	2.67		
A.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignmevaluate students' understanding of the course		2.86		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the st	rudents	2.90	Very Good	(%): 42.86
0.Overall rating		2.67		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1	1 51 ~2 00 SATISFACTORY: <=1 50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES		Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY		Year:	2
Name:	P DEVA RAJU		Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.89	Grade:	2.68
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.63	G	•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.68		)
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.53		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.63		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.53	"	•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.89		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.63		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.68	Very Good	(%): 21.05
10.Overall rat	ing	2.68		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY P DEVA RAJU		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.68	Grade: 2.61
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.82	G
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.55	0
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.77	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.55	0
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.41	D
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.64	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.50	
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.64	Very Good (%): 31.82
10.Overall rati	ng	2.50	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY T BHASKAR REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.05	Grade:	2.92
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.79		<del>)</del>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.05		•
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.89	`	
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.84		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.84	[	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.79		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.79		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.05	Very Good	(%): 31.58
0.Overall rati	ing	3.11		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY T BHASKAR REDDY		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.13	Grade: 2.84
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	G
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.04	0
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.87	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.87	0
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.70	D
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.52	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.74	
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.83	Very Good (%): 34.78
10.Overall rati	ng	2.65	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY V RAGHUNATHA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	Grade:	3.50
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.63	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.37		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.42		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.32	F	?
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53	'	
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.58		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.32		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good	(%): 68.42
10.Overall rat	ing	3.58		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: COMPUTER SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  Name: V RAGHUNATHA REDDY		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	Grade: 3.63
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.68	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.55	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64	R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64	•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.73	G
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.68	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.59	Very Good (%): 77.27
10.Overall rating	3.45	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES GEOGRAPHY A KRISHNA KUMARI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.95
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\ \ \	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES GEOGRAPHY A KRISHNA KUMARI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade:	3.33
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		<b>=</b>
I.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.83		3
ssues		0.00	1	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00		•
	200			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good	(%): 83.33
10.Overall rati	ing	3.33		
Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 2 Semester: 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade: 3.59
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.62	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.65	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.48	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48	•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.55	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.48	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.52	Very Good (%): 82.50
10.Overall rat	ing	3.65	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS	Y	Academic year: Year:		
Name: A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	S	emester: I		
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.40		
Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V		
.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E		
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_		
Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.00	R		
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.00	Y		
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	4.00	G		
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to valuate students' understanding of the course	3.00	0		
.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.00	Very Good (%): 100.00		
0.Overall rating	4.00			
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU		

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS Name: A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY	١	Academic year: ⁄ear: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade:	3.73
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.88	·	<i></i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71	E	•
1.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.76	F	_
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56	1	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.71		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.65	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rating	3.79		

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS A. NARESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.45	Grade:	3.39
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.37	V	/
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.45		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	=
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.18	F	? ,
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.26		_
	y of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.42		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.37		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.45	Very Good	(%): 73.68
10.Overall rat	ing	3.45		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	ON TEACH	IERS	
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS Name: A. NARESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.15	Grade:	3.01
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.97	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.15	E	•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.94	F	_
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	-	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.03		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.12	Very Good	(%): 44.12
0.Overall rating	2.91		
		Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		RS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS A. NARESH	Ye	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.80
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 4.0		4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		4.00	R
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	Y
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)  4.00		G O	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00	
			Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD; 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD; 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS G SHOBHA LATHA		Year: 1	018-19 /2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade: 3	.66
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.74	V	
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.79	E	
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	_	
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.53	R	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.59	G	
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50	0	
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good (%	): 97.06
10.Overall rati	ing	3.74		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDH Director IQAC,S	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: Department: **MATHEMATICS** Year: G SHOBHA LATHA Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.80** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 4.00 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 4.00 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 4.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 4.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 4.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 4.00 4.00 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS G SHOBHA LATHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	Grade:	3.73
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.69	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.64		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.74	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.51	ļ ,	₹ •
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.74	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.72		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.72		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.79	Very Good	(%): 97.44
10.Overall rati	ng	3.82		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC	K ON TEACHE	R5
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS Name: K. RAJANI	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.60
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	R
issues		Y
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
2000		0
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS K. RAJANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.35	Grade:	3.15
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.15	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.47		=
1.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	3
ssues		0.00	<b>`</b>	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	2.94		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.24		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.15	Very Good	(%): 64.71
10.Overall rati	ing	3.15		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	,

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS K. RAJANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.59	Grade:	3.49
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.32	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	F	₹
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.29		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.53		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 79.41
10.Overall rati	ng	3.68		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS NAGARAJU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.01
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.04	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.12	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		•
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.96	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good	(%): 42.31
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS NAGARAJU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade:	3.24
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.33	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.18		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.09	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.18		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.24	Very Good	(%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.33		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	(CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS Name: R SIVA PRASAD	Y	.cademic year: /ear: :emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.40
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	R
	2.00	Y
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.00	_
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	o o
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	
Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	SFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: **MATHEMATICS** Year: R SIVA PRASAD Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 3.61 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.74 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.74 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.68 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.50 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.50 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.62 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.47 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.53 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 88.24 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.68 10.Overall rating 3.68 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS R SIVA PRASAD		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	Grade:	3.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.82	V	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.76		
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.76				_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.74	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.76		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.71		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course 3.76				
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.82	Very Good	(%): 94.74
10.Overall rati	ng	3.89		
			Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR.

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: MATHEMATICS  Name: SHAIK SHARMILA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	Grade:	3.49
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.61	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.52		•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.21	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	1	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.45		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.39		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52	Very Good	(%): 78.79
10.Overall rating	3.61		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	'ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: MATHEMATICS  Name: SHAIK SHARMILA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.09	Grade:	3.06
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.05	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.05		•
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.95		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.91	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18		
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.05		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.18		
Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.95	Very Good	(%): 45.45
0.Overall rating	3.18		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	,

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: MATHEMATICS Name: SHAIK SHARMILA	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.40
Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
S.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	E
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues		R
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	Y
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.00	G
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course		0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students		Very Good (%): 100.00
0.Overall rating	4.00	

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL	- STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACI	HERS	
College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: MICRO BIOLOGY  Name: B VENKATAPPA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	2.64
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.50	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.88		
A.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with ensures	vironment/ social 2.75	_ C	<b>/</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other pape	ers of the course 2.38		•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availantivate further study and discussion)	ability of the teacher to 2.62		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects evaluate students' understanding of the course	cts/Practicals to 2.50		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.50	Very Good	(%): 12.50
0.Overall rating	2.75		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SAT	ISFACTORY: <=1 50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICAL EDUCATION B.JESSIE		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.52	Grade:	2.23
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.28		<del>)</del>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.20		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.16		
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.16		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.20	•	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.08		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.20		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.20	Very Good	(%): 12.00
10.Overall rati	ing	2.32		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: PHYSICAL EDUCATION Year: **B.JESSIE** Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 3.14** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.22 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.12 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.25 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.12 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.09 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.06 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.16 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.09 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 53.12 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.12 3.12 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICAL EDUCATION C KIRAN CHAKRAVARTHY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	Grade:	3.36
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.39	\ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.45	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26		_
	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.32	F	R
issues			Y	7
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.13		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.39	c	
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.32	Very Good	(%): 64.52
10.Overall rati	ing	3.39		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: PHYSICAL EDUCATION Year: 2 C KIRAN CHAKRAVARTHY 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.23** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.55 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.36 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.32 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.18 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.14 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.09 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.14 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.14 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 59.09 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.18 10.Overall rating 3.18 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICAL EDUCATION M V SRINIVASAN		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	Grade:	3.70
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.71	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.68		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.65		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.68	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71	'	
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.68		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.74	Very Good	(%): 83.87
10.Overall rat	ing	3.71		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: PHYSICAL EDUCATION Year: 2 M V SRINIVASAN 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.63** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.71 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.71 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.71 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.71 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.46 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.54 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.58 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.54 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 83.33 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.58 10.Overall rating 3.75 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE B SATYANARAYANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	Grade:	3.42
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.33	\ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.17		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		_
	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	R
ssues	11.11		Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	_	•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.50		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.83		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE B SATYANARAYANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	Grade:	3.28
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.60	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.20		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20		
•	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	3
ssues		0.00	Y	<b>7</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.20		<u> </u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.20		•
	000			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.40		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.20	Very Good	(%): 60.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.40		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE B.SUJATHA	•	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1
Name:	B.SOJAT FIA	<u> </u>	Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade: 3.17
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.83	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83	<u> </u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.83	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.67	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACH						
College:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES	Acade				
<b>-</b>	CEDICUITUDE	V				

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE B.SUJATHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	Grade:	2.90
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.20		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.20	ے ا	<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.60		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.80	_	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.60		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.40		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.60	Very Good	(%): 20.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE P.LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	Grade:	3.40
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.67	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33	_	•
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.33		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good	(%): 83.33
I0.Overall rati	ing	3.67		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **SERICULTURE** Year: 2 P.LAKSHMINARAYANA REDDY 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.28** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.40 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.80 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.20 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.20 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.40 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.20 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.20 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 60.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.40 3.00 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Department: S	KU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ERICULTURE SANKAR NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge bas	se of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade:	3.46
2.Communication	n skills of the Teacher	3.20	\ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Comr	mitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>=</b>
I.Ability of the tea	acher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		_
•	acher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	2
ssues		0.00	Y	<b>7</b>
6.Ability of the tea	acher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.40	_	•
•	the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.20		•
	study and discussion)			
•	acher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to s' understanding of the course	3.40		)
9.Giving sufficien	t time for feedback from the students	3.60	Very Good	(%): 80.00
10.Overall rating		3.60		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.	00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES SERICULTURE S SANKAR NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.18
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.83	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		_
	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	<b>E</b>
issues	THE PARTY AND TH		Y	7
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17		<u> </u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.17		•
	OCT 12 33			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.17		)
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 83.33
10.Overall rati	ing	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS C.SUJATHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.30
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS M.CHITTIBABU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.40
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	1	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00	G	<b>,</b>
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY A.SOMASEKHAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.85	Grade:	2.47
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.70		•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.44		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.41		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.37		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.41		)
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.41		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.11		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.44	Very Good	(%): 11.11
10.Overall rati	ng	2.59		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY B SREEKANTH	`	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	Grade: 3.06
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.29	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.90	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.19	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.24	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.57	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.81	Very Good (%): 61.90
10.Overall rati	ng	3.19	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY B SREEKANTH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.14	Grade:	2.48
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.10	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	1.90		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.41		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.45		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.52	_	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.28		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.17		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	2.48	Very Good	(%): 24.14
10.Overall rati	ng	2.38		
(Overall Score : 3.0	ol-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY G HAROLD PHILIP		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.96	Grade: 3.53
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.74	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.74	E
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.61	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.17	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.35	Y
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.30	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.13	0
Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	3.52	Very Good (%): 86.96
0.Overall rati	ing	3.74	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY G HAROLD PHILIP		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.76	Grade:	3.33
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.45	\ \ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.52		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.03	F	? *
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.28		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.28	Very Good	(%): 75.86
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: ZOOLOGY  Name: K PULLANNA	_	Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.24	Grade:	2.31
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.20		•
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.44		•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.24		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.24		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.32		•
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	2.48		
<ol> <li>Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course</li> </ol>	2.36		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.20	Very Good	(%): 4.00
10.Overall rating	2.40		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATI	(SFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY S.P. RAKESH KULLAI SWAMY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.86	Grade:	2.78
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.23		<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.05		<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.55		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64		•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.82		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.32		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.73	Very Good	(%): 27.27
10.Overall rat	ing	2.64		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

Department: ZO	U COLEGE OF SCIENCES OLOGY		Academic year: Year:	2018-19
ivanio.	P. RAKESH KULLAI SWAMY		Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base	e of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.23	Grade:	1.96
2.Communication s	skills of the Teacher	1.85	5	•
3.Sincerity/ Comm	nitment of the Teacher	2.12		1
1.Ability of the tead	cher to generate interest in the subject	2.00	_	
5.Ability of the tead	cher to integrate course material with environment/ social	1.92	] ]	Γ
6.Ability of the tead	cher to integrate content with other papers of the course	1.77		
	he teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to udy and discussion)	1.92	5	5 :
	cher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to understanding of the course	1.92		<b>\</b>
Giving sufficient	time for feedback from the students	1.96	Very Good	(%): 3.85
10.Overall rating		1.88		_
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00	) ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: ZOOLOGY  Name: VV BALASUBRAMANYAM		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.41	Grade:	2.66
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.68	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.73		
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.64		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.73		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.68		•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.41		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.14		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.45	Very Good	(%): 31.82
10.Overall rating	2.73		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLEGE OF SCIENCES ZOOLOGY VV BALASUBRAMANYAM		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.31	Grade:	2.88
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	2.96		•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.88		<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.81		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.85		•
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.73	<b>-</b>	,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.92		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.42		
9.Giving suffic	ent time for feedback from the students	2.88	Very Good	(%): 38.46
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ADULT EDUCATION Name: G VASUDEVAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade:	3.27
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.17	E	•
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		_
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	R
issues		Y	7
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	G	•
7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher motivate further study and discussion)	er to 3.17	9	•
(2)			
8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.33		
9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 66.67
10.Overall rating	3.33		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ U	NSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ADULT EDUCATION G VASUDEVAIAH		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	Grade: 3.54
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.82	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.73	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.64	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55	Y
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.55	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.55	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.45	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ADULT EDUCATION G VENKATA LAKSHMAMMA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade:	3.15
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.33	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33		=
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		
•	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.17	F	3
ssues	100 miles	2	\ \ \ \	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.17		7
notivate turtno	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 50.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.17		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: ADULT EDUCATION Year: G VENKATA LAKSHMAMMA Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 3.26** 1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.30 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.60 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.50 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.10 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.20 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.30 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.10 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.90 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 90.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.20 10.Overall rating 3.40 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	CONTLACI	ILINO	
College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS		Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ADULT EDUCATION G VIDYASAGAR REDDY		Year:	1
Name:	G VID TAGAGAIN NEDD I		Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.91	V	•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.73		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.45	R	
issues		0.10	Y	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.73		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.55	G	
motivate furth	er study and discussion)		0	
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.82	0	1
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.91	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.82		
(Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ADULT EDUCATION G VIDYASAGAR REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.55
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.83	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	2
ssues	11 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (1 (	0.00	_   Y	7
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.67		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.67		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ng	3.50		
Overall Sagra : 2.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORV)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ADULT EDUCATION Name: H.T. TIPPE SWAMY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	Grade:	3.28
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.40	\ \ \ \	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.30	E	•
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.10	F	R
ssues	0.10	Y	7
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.30	_	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher	to 3.10		•
motivate further study and discussion)			
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20	C	)
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.20	Very Good	(%): 80.00
10.Overall rating	3.40		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UN	SATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ADULT EDUCATION Name: H.T. TIPPE SWAMY		Yea	ademic year: ar: nester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.	33	Grade:	3.07
Communication skills of the Teacher	3.	.17	\	1
S.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.	67	E	•
.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	et m	.00	_	_
.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with	environment/ social 2.	.67	F	<b>E</b>
ssues	UNITED AND TO A STATE OF THE ST		Y	7
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other pa	apers of the course 3.	.00		•
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (average) and discussion)	ailability of the teacher to 3.	.33	G	•
000	123			
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Provaluate students' understanding of the course	ojects/Practicals to 3.	.17	C	
.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.	.00	Very Good	(%): 50.00
0.Overall rating	3.	.33		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 \$	SATISFACTORY: <=1 50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)		Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ADULT EDUCATION S. PRATAP		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade:	3.10
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		_
•	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.17	F	2
ssues			Y	<b>[</b>
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83	_	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.00		7
notivate furthe	er study and discussion)			)
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.83		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good	(%): 66.67
0.Overall rati	ng	3.17		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ADULT EDUCATION S. PRATAP		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.33
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.33	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.56		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.22		_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.11	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.33		) )
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.22		
Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 88.89
0.Overall ratir	ng	3.56		
Overall Score : 3.01	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAO	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE A VENKATA RAMANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.63	Grade:	3.45
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.56	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.56	E	•
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.31	F	R
ssues		0.01	Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.19	_	•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.65		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.37	c	)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.42	Very Good	(%): 80.77
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: Department: **COMMERCE** Year: A VENKATA RAMANA Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.40** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.50 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.50 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 4.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.50 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.00 10.Overall rating 3.50 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE A VENKATA RAMANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	Grade:	3.37
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.61	·	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.44	E	<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.32		_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.19	F	_
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.07	·	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.44		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.32		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.23	Very Good	(%): 75.44
10.Overall rati	ng	3.53		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01\text{-}4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01\text{-}3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51\ \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE B SHOBHA RANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.31
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.46	\ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.38		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.31		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.18	F	2
issues		0.10		<b>7</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.21		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.18		7
	er study and discussion)			)
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.15	Very Good	(%): 71.79
10.Overall rati	ng	3.44		
(Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	(CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE B SHOBHA RANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.44
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.49	\	<i>1</i>
Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.56		•
.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.51		_
.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	2
ssues		0.00	<b>)</b>	7
.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.22	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.35		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.35		
.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.27	Very Good	(%): 89.09
0.Overall rati	ing	3.55		
Overall Score : 3 (	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		RS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE B SHOBHA RANI	Ye	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade: 3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	T
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G O
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50	0
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE D ANJANEYULU		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.82	Grade: 3.57
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.64	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.82	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.40	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	Y
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.55	G
8.Ability of the	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.42	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.42	Very Good (%): 87.27
10.Overall rat	ing	3.58	
(Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	HNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU SSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		RS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF AR Department: COMMERCE Name: D ANJANEYULU	TS .	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as pe	erceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.85
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher		4.00	E
4. Ability of the teacher to generate inter	est in the subject	3.50	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate cour issues	se material with environment/ social	4.00	R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate cont	ent with other papers of the course	4.00	Y
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out motivate further study and discussion)	of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.50	G
8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests// evaluate students' understanding of the		3.50	0
9. Giving sufficient time for feedback fro	n the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating		4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00	~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE D ANJANEYULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.51	Grade:	3.30
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.18	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.60		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05	<u>'</u>	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.20		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.18		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.16	Very Good	(%): 67.27
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE H LAJAPATHI RAI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.66	Grade:	3.42
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.41		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.34		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.36	F	R
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36	)	
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.38		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good	(%): 80.36
10.Overall rati	ng	3.45		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

INTERNAL GOALITT ACCORANCE CELE - CTOBERTO I ELDE	TOR OIL TEXOTIENE	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: COMMERCE Name: H LAJAPATHI RAI	Year	emic year: ester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	<b>Grade: 3.20</b>
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.50	R Y
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•
<ol> <li>Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)</li> </ol>	3.00	G O
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.50	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.00	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE H LAJAPATHI RAI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.69	Grade:	3.44
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.64	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.29	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.49	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.55	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.33	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.22	Very Good	(%): 78.18
10.Overall rati	ng	3.55		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE JAYALAKSHMI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.49	Grade:	3.38
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.43	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.60		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.28	F	3
ssues		0.20	Y	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.34		•
notivate turth	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good	(%): 77.36
I0.Overall rati	ing	3.47		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE JAYALAKSHMI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	Grade:	3.58
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.78	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.73	E	:
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.45	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.49		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.45		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.49		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.40	Very Good	(%): 89.09
10.Overall rati	ng	3.49		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: COMMERCE Name: JAYALAKSHMI	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade: 3.80
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
A.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R
ssues		Y
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	4.00	l G
200 m		0
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	O
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
0.Overall rating	3.50	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	A CTODY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: SKU COLL Department: COMMERO Name: K MADDIL			Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the t	eacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade: 3.29
2.Communication skills of	the Teacher	3.22	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment o	f the Teacher	3.24	E
4.Ability of the teacher to g	generate interest in the subject	3.22	_
5.Ability of the teacher to i	ntegrate course material with environment/ social	3.24	R
6.Ability of the teacher to i	ntegrate content with other papers of the course	3.24	<b>"</b>
7.Accessibility of the teach motivate further study and	ner in and out of the class (availability of the teache discussion)	er to 3.25	G
8.Ability of the teacher to o	lesign Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to anding of the course	3.38	0
9.Giving sufficient time for	feedback from the students	3.29	Very Good (%): 69.09
10.Overall rating		3.36	
Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY	GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ U	NSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDB		RS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: COMMERCE Name: K MADDILETI	Y	.cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade: 2.95
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	G
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.00	0
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50	
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.50	O D
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	3.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSAT	TISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE K MADDILETI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.11	Grade:	3.08
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	2.98	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.13		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.02	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.04	F	₹
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.96	'	(
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.21		
8.Ability of the evaluate stude	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.11		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good	(%): 58.49
10.Overall ratio	ng	3.19		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE KAMALAKAR	Ye	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade: 3.10
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	Y
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G O
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 50.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.50	
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS COMMERCE KAMALAKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.37
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.41	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.41		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.30		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.24		3
ssues		0.21	1	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.43		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.39	Very Good	(%): 70.37
10.Overall rat	ing	3.43		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	,

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CEL	NUNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM LL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACI	HERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: COMMERCE Name: KAMALAKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	Grade:	3.44
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.45	·	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.45		<b>:</b>
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.55	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with elissues	environment/ social 3.38	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other pa	pers of the course 3.25		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (ava motivate further study and discussion)	ailability of the teacher to 3.44		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projevaluate students' understanding of the course	jects/Practicals to 3.47		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.35	Very Good	(%): 80.00
10.Overall rating	3.44		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 S.	ATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS Dr. K. THULASI NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.63
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.61	\ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.64	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.46	F	<b>.</b>
	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.43		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.57		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.75	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.75		
(Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ECONOMICS Name: Dr. K. THULASI NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	Grade:	3.43
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.44	\ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.56		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.24	F	2
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.24	)	<b>(</b>
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.28		•
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.40	Very Good	(%): 80.00
10.Overall rating	3.68		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS G VENKATA NAIDU		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.93	Grade: 3.72
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.75	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.75	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.61	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46	Y
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.82	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.71	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.75	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.68	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **ECONOMICS** Year: 2 G VENKATA NAIDU 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.48** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.60 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.52 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.68 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.24 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.52 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.48 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.24 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.40 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 80.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.56 3.52 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC, SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS K DASARATHA RAMAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.64	Grade:	3.62
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.89	V	•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.64	E	<u> </u>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		•
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	R	,
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54	T	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.57	G	
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.54	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.64		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ECONOMICS Name: K DASARATHA RAMAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.36
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.36	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.40		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.32	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.28	ļ ,	_
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.24		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.24		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.52		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.28	Very Good	(%): 76.00
10.Overall rating	3.48		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Department: [	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS M D BAVAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge ba	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.60	Grade:	3.41
2.Communicatio	on skills of the Teacher	3.48	\ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Con	nmitment of the Teacher	3.40		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the to	eacher to generate interest in the subject	3.60		
5.Ability of the te	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.24	F	3
ssues		0.21	Y	<b>[</b>
3.Ability of the to	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.32		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.36		7
	study and discussion)			
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ts' understanding of the course	3.32		
Giving sufficie	ent time for feedback from the students	3.32	Very Good	(%): 84.00
0.Overall rating	9	3.48		
Overall Score : 3.01-	4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: **ECONOMICS** Year: M D BAVAIAH Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 3.71** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.82 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.89 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.57 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.68 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.68 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.64 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.68 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.75 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.68 10.Overall rating 3.71 Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC, SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS M JAYARAJ		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.69
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.76	\ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.76		=
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.72		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.60	F	3
ssues		0.00		<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.64		7
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.60		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.72	Very Good	(%): 92.00
0.Overall rati	ng	3.56		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS M JAYARAJ		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	Grade:	3.65
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.79	V	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.61	E	<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54	-	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.68		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.64	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.79		
(Overall Score : 3.0)	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD: 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD: 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY: <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ECONOMICS M SIVA SANKARAIAH		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	Grade: 3.64
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.79	V
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.57	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.46	R
	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46	Y
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.64	G
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.61	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.68	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.71	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU			
	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	K ON TEACH	HERS	
College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS		Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	ECONOMICS		Year:	2
Name:	M SIVA SANKARAIAH		Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	Grade:	3.31
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.28	V	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.20		<u> </u>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		_
	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	F	K
issues	The state of the s		Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.28		_
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.36		j
motivate furth	er study and discussion)	3.30		)
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.20		)
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.16	Very Good	(%): 72.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.40		
(Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ENGLISH AFROZUDDIN		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.55	Grade: 3.30
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.82	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.36	F
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.36	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.09	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.09	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.18	Very Good (%): 72.73
10.Overall ration	ng	3.27	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ENGLISH IZAC		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	Grade:	3.51
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.67		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56	_	-
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues			R	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	_   T	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.44	G	<b>,</b>
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.44	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.44		
			Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS ENGLISH S HUSSAIN VALI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.42	Grade:	3.34
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.25	\ \ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.42		<b>-</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.25	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good	(%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.42		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : A INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS F		HERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: ENGLISH Name: V MADHAVI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	Grade:	3.59
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.73	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.73		•
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ soci	al 3.64	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	•	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teach notivate further study and discussion)	cher to 3.73		; )
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.27		
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.45	Very Good	(%): 72.73
0.Overall rating	3.73		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50	~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI ABDUL MUNEER		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade: 3.50
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.83	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	R
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	Υ
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.67	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
Department:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI ABDUL MUNEER		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge ba	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.74
2.Communication	on skills of the Teacher	3.69	·	1
3.Sincerity/ Cor	mmitment of the Teacher	3.69		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the t	reacher to generate interest in the subject	3.77	_	_
5.Ability of the t	reacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.54	F	_
6.Ability of the t	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	1	
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.77		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ints' understanding of the course	3.85		
9.Giving sufficie	ent time for feedback from the students	3.77	Very Good	(%): 92.31
10.Overall ratin	g	3.77		
Overall Score : 3 01-	4.00 ~ VERY GOOD: 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD: 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY: <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI C.SURESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	Grade:	3.30
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.33	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	<b>?</b>
	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	Y	
7.Accessibility	or of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.17		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ing	3.50		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

11	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM NTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON		HERS	
Department: H	KU COLLEGE OF ARTS IINDI CSURESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge bas	se of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	Grade:	3.64
2.Communication	n skills of the Teacher	3.54	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Com	mitment of the Teacher	3.69	E	•
4.Ability of the tea	acher to generate interest in the subject	3.69	_	_
5.Ability of the tea	acher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.62	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the tea	acher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.46	•	
	the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.69	G	
•	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to s' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving sufficien	nt time for feedback from the students	3.62	Very Good	(%): 92.31
10.Overall rating		3.77		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.	.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTOR	RY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI CLN. PRASAD		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	Grade: 3.04
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.17	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.17	E
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17	1
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.17	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.50	0
Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 50.00
0.Overall ratio	ng	3.00	
Overall Score : 3.01	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI CLN. PRASAD		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.56	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.44		•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.56	F	R
ssues		0.00	\ \ \ \ \	7
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.56		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.67		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 88.89
0.Overall rati	ng	3.78		
Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI G.PADMAJA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	Grade:	3.69
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.62	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.69	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.77	_	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.69	F	_
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.69	)	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.69		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.77	Very Good	(%): 84.62
10.Overall rati	ing	3.69		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI GHOUSIA BEGUM		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.54
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.42	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.42		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.58	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.58		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.58		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.58	Very Good	(%): 91.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.58		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI T.SREENIVASULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge b	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.75
2.Communicati	on skills of the Teacher	3.92	\ \ \ \ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.69		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.77	, F	R 7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.77		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to r study and discussion)	3.69		; )
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.69		
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.69	Very Good	(%): 92.31
10.Overall ratir	ng	3.69		
(Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI Y. BHASKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.78	Grade:	3.57
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.56	\ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.44	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.67	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56	Y	
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.44		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.44		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 88.89
10.Overall rat	ing	3.78		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

> SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HINDI Y. BHASKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade	: 3.35
2.Communica	ation skills of the Teacher	3.83	,	V
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.17	l ,	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50		R v
		0.00		T

6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.33 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.17 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.17 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 83.33 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.33 10.Overall rating 3.17 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU  $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD; 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD; 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY D. VENKATESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.73	Grade:	2.87
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.18		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.82		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.82	`	
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.82		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.09		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.55	Very Good	(%): 45.45
10.Overall rati	ng	2.73		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE C	YA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAN ELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON	-	HERS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: HISTORY Name: D. VENKATESH	_		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you	1)	3.25	Grade: 3.28
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	*	3.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	• 600	3.08	E
1.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subje	ect	3.42	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material wit ssues	h environment/ social	3.33	R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other բ	papers of the course	3.08	_
<ul> <li>Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (a notivate further study and discussion)</li> </ul>	vailability of the teacher to	3.25	G
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Prevaluate students' understanding of the course	rojects/Practicals to	3.00	0
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students		3.42	Very Good (%): 75.00
0.Overall rating		3.50	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00	SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTO	RY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU
Students Feedback System	- software designed and devel	oped by	1

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY G. SREEDHAR GOWD		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.08	Grade:	2.97
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.08		;
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.25		<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.58		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17	<b>_</b>	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.75		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.83		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.17	Very Good	(%): 58.33
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Department: HISTC	partment: HISTORY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4	
1.Knowledge base of	the teacher (as perceived by yo	ou)	2.92	Grade:	2.94
2.Communication skill	ls of the Teacher		2.83	G	•
3.Sincerity/ Commitme	ent of the Teacher		2.92		
4.Ability of the teache	r to generate interest in the sub	ject w	2.92		
5.Ability of the teache ssues	r to integrate course material w	ith environment/ social	3.00		
6.Ability of the teache	r to integrate content with other	papers of the course	2.67	_	
7.Accessibility of the t motivate further study	teacher in and out of the class (and discussion)	availability of the teacher to	3.25		
-	r to design Tests/Assignments/F derstanding of the course	Projects/Practicals to	2.75		
9.Giving sufficient time	e for feedback from the student	s	3.08	Very Good	(%): 58.33

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

3.08

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

10.Overall rating

College:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS		Academic year:	2018-19
Department:	HISTORY		Year:	1
Name:	K MALLIKARJUNA REDDY		Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.85
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.92	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.92		<u> </u>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.83	_	=
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.67	F	₹ ′
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.83		_
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.83		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.75		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.83	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY K MALLIKARJUNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.73
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.92	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.92		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.58	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.42		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.83		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.83	Very Good	(%): 91.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.67		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY K.KRISHNA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	Grade:	3.69
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.73	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.91	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45		_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.55	F	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55	1	,
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.91		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.45		•
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.82	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.82		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY;	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY K.KRISHNA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	Grade:	3.14
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.18	\ \ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.27		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.91		; )
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.45		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 54.55
10.Overall rati	ng	3.18		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY P VENUGOPAL REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	Grade:	3.31
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.36	·	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.45		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.36		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.36	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.18		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.36		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.18		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good	(%): 63.64
10.Overall rat	ing	3.09		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY P VENUGOPAL REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.10	Grade:	2.89
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	2.70		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.80		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues 3.00				
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.90	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.80		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.80	Very Good	(%): 30.00
10.Overall ratio	ng	2.80		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Department: HISTOR	DLLEGE OF ARTS Y 'A PRAKASH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the	e teacher (as perceived by you)	3.73	Grade:	3.57
2.Communication skills	of the Teacher	3.64	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitmen	it of the Teacher	3.45	E	1
4.Ability of the teacher to	o generate interest in the subject	3.45		
5.Ability of the teacher to	o integrate course material with environment/ socia	3.64	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to	o integrate content with other papers of the course	3.64	<b>"</b>	
7.Accessibility of the tea notivate further study a	acher in and out of the class (availability of the teac nd discussion)	her to 3.55	G	
	o design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to rstanding of the course	3.55		
9.Giving sufficient time f	for feedback from the students	3.45	Very Good	(%): 90.91
0.Overall rating		3.64		
Overall Score : 3 01-4 00 ~ VFR	RY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50	~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS HISTORY T. SURYA PRAKASH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	Grade:	3.63
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.75	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		<b>=</b>
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	2
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67	\ \	7
•		3.07		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.42		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.75		
9.Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	3.67	Very Good	(%): 91.67
I0.Overall rati	ing	3.75		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW BHAGATH KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 3 5/6
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	Grade:	3.90
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.71	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	·	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.86		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.86		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good (	%): 100.00
I0.Overall rati	ing	4.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW BHAGATH KUMAR		Year:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.43
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.33	V	
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.56	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.44	_	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	R	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.56	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33	0	
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good (	%): 77.78
10.Overall rati	ng	3.56		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC,	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW BHAGATH KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.90	Grade:	3.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.94	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.87			<u> </u>
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.90			_	_
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		F	R 7	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61	·	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.77		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.68	Very Good	(%): 93.55
10.Overall rati	ng	3.87		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR.

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW J VIJAYA KUMAR		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade: 3.60
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.75	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.62	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38	Y
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.62	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.62	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.62	Very Good (%): 87.50
10.Overall rati	ng	3.75	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW J VIJAYA KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	Grade:	3.76
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.81	·	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.87	E	<b>:</b>
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84		_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.71	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.65	1	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.81		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.65		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.74	Very Good	(%): 93.55
I0.Overall rati	ng	3.81		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW J VIJAYA KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 3 5/6
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	Grade:	3.90
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	<i>/</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	₹
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.71	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.71		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW M SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 3 5/6
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.94
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.86	\ \ \ \ \	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	?
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.86	1	
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.86		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.86	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW M SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	Grade:	3.74
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.71	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.65		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.81	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.68	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.68		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.77		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.71		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good	(%): 90.32
10.Overall rati	ng	3.87		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW M SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.62
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.75	· ·	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.62		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.62	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	1	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.62		; )
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 87.50
10.Overall rati	ng	3.62		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW S.V.PULLA REDDY		Year:	2018-19    /2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade: 3	3.87
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.88	V	
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.88	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.88	_	
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.88	R	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.88	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.75	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.88	0	
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.88	Very Good (%	6): 87.50
I0.Overall rati	ng	3.88		
Overall Score : 3 0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDI Director IQAC,S	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW S.V.PULLA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 3 5/6
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.97
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		_
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	2
ssues			<b>)</b>	<b>7</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_	•
-	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.71		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
)11 G 2 O	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	(CTOPV)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LAW S.V.PULLA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.97	Grade:	3.93
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.94	·	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.94		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.97	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.88	F	? *
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.84		; )
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.91		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.97	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC	K ON TEACH	HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE D RAVINDER		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.32	Grade:	3.07
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.14	\ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.14		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.05		_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.82	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.91	)	ſ
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.14		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.09	Very Good	(%): 63.64
10.Overall rati	ing	2.86		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAO	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Department:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE D RAVINDER		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	Grade:	3.65
2.Communicati	on skills of the Teacher	3.63	V	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.63	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.74	_	_
. •	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.47	F	<b>E</b>
issues	Web Market		Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.79	G	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to r study and discussion)	3.74		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to		C	)
•	nts' understanding of the course	3.74	C	
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.42	Very Good	(%): 89.47
10.Overall ratin	g	3.79		
Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	'ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE K DEVENDRA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.22	Grade:	3.04
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.06	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	2.89		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.67	F	2
ssues				<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.06		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.06		į.
notivate turtne	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.22		
Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	2.78	Very Good	(%): 44.44
0.Overall ratir	ng	3.11		
Overall Score : 3.01	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE K DEVENDRA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.68
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.83	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.61		=
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.72	F	3
ssues	11 (1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	02	) Y	<b>(</b>
3.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.67		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.56		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.72		)
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 83.33
0.Overall rati	ng	3.78		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE K. RAMACHANDRAPPA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.94	Grade:	3.84
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.89	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.83		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.78		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.78	F	R
		0.04	) Y	7
o.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.94		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.78	`	•
	200			
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.94	Very Good	(%): 94.44
10.Overall rati	ing	3.78		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE K. RAMACHANDRAPPA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.36
2.Communica	ation skills of the Teacher	3.40	V	7
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.40		:
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.25	_	-
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.55	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05		
	y of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.30		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.30		•
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.20	Very Good	(%): 70.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.35		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: LIBRARY SCIENCE Name: P SURESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.04
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.12	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.94		<b>:</b>
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.88		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	3
ssues	0.00	Y	<b>(</b>
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12	_	•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teach	er to 3.12		•
notivate further study and discussion)			
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	<b> </b>	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.94	Very Good	(%): 50.00
10.Overall rating	2.88		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ U	JNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPUI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		IERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: LIBRARY SCIENCE Name: P SURESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	Grade:	3.55
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.44	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.67		!
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56	_	•
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.44	R	,
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61	•	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.50	G	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.56		
9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good (	(%): 83.33
10.Overall rating	3.56		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS LIBRARY SCIENCE P. SIVASHANKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.40	Grade:	3.21
Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.35	· ·	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.15	E	•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.30		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.30	F	_
.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95	·	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.10		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.30	Very Good	(%): 60.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.25		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: LIBRARY SCIENCE Year: P. SIVASHANKAR Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 3.60 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.56 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.56 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.50 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.67 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.61 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.61 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.61 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.56 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 88.89 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.67 10.Overall rating 3.67 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE B ANANDA NAIDU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.33
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.58	\ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.25		=
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.25	F	3
ssues		0.20	Y	<b>(</b>
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.25		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.25		7
notivate turtn	er study and discussion)			
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 66.67
0.Overall rati	ing	3.42		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

#### SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE Year: 2 **B ANANDA NAIDU** 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.02** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.17 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.50 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.83 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.67 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.67 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.17 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 33.33 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 2.83

3.33

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

10.Overall rating

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE B V RAGHAVULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	Grade:	3.35
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.69	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.38		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.23		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.23	F	2
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.23	)	<b>(</b>
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.54		
3.Ability of the	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.77		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.46	Very Good	(%): 61.54
10.Overall rati	ng	3.15		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE Year: 2 **B V RAGHAVULU** 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.27** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.33 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.17 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.33 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.17 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.33 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.33 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.33 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 66.67 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.33 10.Overall rating 3.33

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE D CHANDRAMOULI REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.77	Grade:	3.45
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.54	v	•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.46	E	l
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.23	R	,
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.31	<b>*</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.62	G	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.23		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.46	Very Good (	%): 84.62
10.Overall rati	ing	3.54		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	'ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: POLITICAL SCIENCE Name: D CHANDRAMOULI REDDY 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 2 Semester: 3/4 Grade: 3.10

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

3/4 **Grade: 3.10** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.33 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 2.67 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.67 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.83 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.83 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.17 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 33.33 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.33 3.50 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE G NARASIMHA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	2.93
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.67		<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33		<b>)</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.33	`	
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.67		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.67		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	2.33	Very Good	(%): 33.33
10.Overall rati	ing	2.67		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE G NARASIMHA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.38	Grade:	3.34
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.31	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.23	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		-
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.38	R	2
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38	Y	7
7.Accessibility	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.54	G	
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.38		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.23	Very Good	(%): 76.92
10.Overall rati	ing	3.23		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Department: POL	U COLLEGE OF ARTS LITICAL SCIENCE IAYPAL REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base	of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade:	3.08
2.Communication s	skills of the Teacher	3.20	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Commi	itment of the Teacher	3.00	E	•
I.Ability of the teac	cher to generate interest in the subject	2.80		_
5.Ability of the teac ssues	cher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	_
6.Ability of the teac	cher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.20	_	
	ne teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to udy and discussion)	2.80		
	cher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficient t	time for feedback from the students	2.80	Very Good	(%): 40.00
10.Overall rating		3.20		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00	~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFAC	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS POLITICAL SCIENCE P. JAYPAL REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade:	3.48
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.58	\	<i>1</i>
Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33		•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.25		_
•	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.67	F	<b>t</b>
ssues	12000	0.0.	\ \ \ \	<b>7</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.58	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.25		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.25		
.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.58	Very Good	(%): 91.67
0.Overall rati	ng	3.58		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK D. SREENIVASA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.30	Grade:	3.06
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.60	\	/
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.10	_	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.80	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.10		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.90		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.80		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 60.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK D. SREENIVASA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.50
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.61	v	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.39	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.44		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.67	Very Good	(%): 83.33
10.Overall rati	ng	3.56		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK G. SREEDHAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	Grade:	3.64
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.76	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.71		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.59		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.94	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.53	· ·	1
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.53		
8.Ability of the	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.53		
9.Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	3.41	Very Good	(%): 88.24
10.Overall rati	ing	3.71		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK Year: G. SREEDHAR Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 3.33** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.50 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 4.00 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.75 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.50 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.25 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 50.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.00 3.25 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK J. SRI LAKSHMI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.90
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	<b>/</b>
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.86	_	<del>-</del>
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.86	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.57		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.86		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.86	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: Department: RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK Year: J. SRI LAKSHMI Name: Semester: 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00

2 3/4 Grade: 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 4.00 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 4.00 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 4.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 4.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 4.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 4.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 4.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 4.00 4.00 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

2018-19

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK K. BHASKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	Grade:	3.47
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.62	·	<b>/</b>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.38		•
I.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38	_	=
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.38	F	<b>R</b> 7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.56		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.44		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.44		•
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 81.25
I0.Overall rat	ing	3.38		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: 2018-19 Academic year: Department: RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK Year: K. BHASKAR Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 2.93** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.33 2. Communication skills of the Teacher G 3.00 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.00 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.67 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.67 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 33.33 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 2.67 2.00 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK M. MUNINARAYANAPPA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge b	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.53	Grade:	3.35
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.60	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.40		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.47	F	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.33	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.13		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	3.40	Very Good	(%): 86.67
10.Overall ratir	ng	3.33		
Overall Score : 3 01	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK P. FIROZ BABU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.86	Grade:	3.43
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.29	v	,
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.29	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.29	R	
	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.43	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.43	G	
8.Ability of the	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.43	0	
9.Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good (	%): 71.43
10.Overall rati	ing	3.57		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREED Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL WORK Year: 2 P. FIROZ BABU 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.45** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.64 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.57 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.43 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.43 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.36 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.07 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.36 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.50 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 78.57 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.57 10.Overall rating 3.57 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANAN' INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEED		HERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: SKIM Name:		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	4.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	\ \ \ \ \	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E	•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	F	₹ •
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	1	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		<i>,</i>
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

4.00

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

10.Overall rating

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEI		ERS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: SKIM Name: APPALRAJU		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 2 Semester: 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.36	Grade: 3.01
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.55	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.91	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.91		R
issues	2.01	Y
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher motivate further study and discussion)	to 2.91	
2000		0
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	0	
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	Very Good (%): 27.27	
10.Overall rating	2.91	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	N ON TEACH	IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM B ANITHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	4.00
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
· .	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R	2
issues	The state of the s		Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	G	<u> -</u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00		,
	000			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	ACTORY)_	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM B ANITHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	Grade:	3.44
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.68	\ \ \	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.36	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28	_	_
•	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.36	F	2
issues		0.40	Y	7
o.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48	_   G	<u> </u>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.32		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.48	Very Good	(%): 68.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.44		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM B KRISHNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.51	Grade:	3.28
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.31	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.49		<b>=</b>
Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26	_	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.11	F	_
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.17	)	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.23		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.23		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.23	Very Good	(%): 62.86
0.Overall rati	ing	3.29		
Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM B KRISHNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	4.00
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	2
ssues	11 (1 to 1	1.00	<b>)</b>	7
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_	•
-	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	4.00		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
Overall Seems 12.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM B R MEGHARAJ		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	2.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.03		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.53		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.65		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.76	-	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.76		,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.82		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.74		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.85	Very Good	(%): 35.29
10.Overall rati	ng	2.76		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD; 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD; 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM C N KRISHNA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	Grade:	3.33
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.34	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.31		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.31	F	2
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.31	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.24		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.28		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.34	Very Good	(%): 62.07
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM C N KRISHNA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.70
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	2
ssues		1.00	<b>)</b>	7
S.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_	•
-	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	4.00		•
	er study and discussion)			
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
December 2 0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM CH KRISHNUDU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade:	3.35
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.38	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.47		•
I.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.24	F	2
ssues		V. <u> </u>	Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.32		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.29		)
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.38	Very Good	(%): 64.71
0.Overall rati	ing	3.41		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAO	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: SKIM Year: CH KRISHNUDU Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 4.00 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 4.00 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 4.00 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 4.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 4.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 4.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 4.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 4.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 4.00 10.Overall rating 4.00 Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC, SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM D PRABHAKAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.62	Grade:	2.63
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.62		•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.81		
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.62		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.62		•
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.50	<b>_</b>	,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.50		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.56		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	2.69	Very Good	(%): 18.75
10.Overall rati	ng	2.75		
(Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM FAYAZ		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.52	Grade:	2.42
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.40	G	i
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.44		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.28		,
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.36	0	
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.36		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.40		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.60		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.48	Very Good	(%): 8.00
10.Overall rati	ng	2.36		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM K VENUGOPALA RAO		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	Grade:	3.24
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.48	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.24		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.28	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.08		<b>,</b>
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good	(%): 52.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.32		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM P MURALI KRISHNA	•	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 4.00
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	T T
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM P MURALI KRISHNA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.56	Grade:	3.30
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.44	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.36	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.20	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.08		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.24	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.20		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.28	Very Good	(%): 56.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.36		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	•

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM P PREMCHAND BABU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade:	3.49
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\ \ \ \	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.42	E	<b>:</b>
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.46		_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.42	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.54	<u>'</u>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.46		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.54		
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.46	Very Good	(%): 69.23
0.Overall rati	ng	3.58		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS
OVIL COLLEGE OF ARTO

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS  Department: SKIM  Name: P PREMCHAND BABU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	4.00
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	\ \ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	١.,	<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_	<del>_</del>
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	4.00	',	₹
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00		•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: SKIM Name: RAVINDRANATH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.27	Grade:	3.19
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.07	\ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.13		=
A.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.20	_	_
i.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.13		F	2
ssues		\ \ \	<b>7</b>
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.27		<u> •</u>
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.33		•
000			
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.13	Very Good	(%): 40.00
0.Overall rating	3.20		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSA	FISEACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPUI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SKIM V SAILAJA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.74	Grade:	3.62
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.70	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.65		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.70	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.61	F	₹ •
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	<u>'</u>	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.43		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.57		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.57	Very Good	(%): 82.61
10.Overall rati	ng	3.61		
	-		Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY AMARNATH R DAS		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.10
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	₹
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	'	(
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.00		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY AMARNATH R DAS		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.87
.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	/
S.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.82		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.91		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.91	F	3
ssues		0.01	\ \ \ \ \	<b>f</b>
3.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.64		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.91		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.82	Very Good	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ng	3.82		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY C RAMI REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.82	Grade:	3.66
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.55	\ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.55		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.64		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.82	F	3
issues		0.02	) <u> </u>	<b>(</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.64		7
	er study and discussion)			
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.64		)
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.91	Very Good	(%): 90.91
10.Overall rati	ing	3.45		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY C RAMI REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.20
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		•
.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	8
ssues		1.00	<b>)</b>	7
S.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.00		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	,

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY G VENKATA RAMANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.92
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	· ·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.91	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.82		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.82	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.91		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.91	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.91		
(Overall Score : 3.0	v1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: SOCIOLOGY Year: G VENKATA RAMANA Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 3.80 1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 4.00 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 4.00 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 4.00 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 4.00 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 4.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 4.00 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.00 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.00 4.00 10.Overall rating Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY KV AMARNATH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.10
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		•
.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	R
ssues		0.00	\ \ \ \ \	7
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.00		•
notivate turtn	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		)
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	%): 100.00
0.Overall rati	ing	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY KV AMARNATH		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 2 Semester: 3/4
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.91	Grade: 3.87
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.64	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.82	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.91	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.91	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.91	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.91	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.82	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.82	
(Overall Score : 3 ()	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORV)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY R VIJAYA KRISHNA NAIDU		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
name:	I VIDATA KIKISI INA NAIDO		Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.80
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ing	4.00	
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS SOCIOLOGY R VIJAYA KRISHNA NAIDU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.91	Grade:	3.79
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.82	·	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.73			<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.7		_	_
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		3.73	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course		3.82	•	
7 Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to		3.73		) )
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course		3.73		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students		3.82	Very Good	(%): 90.91
10.Overall rati	ng	3.91		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU B. ASHOK KUMAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	2.97
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.03		•
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 2.94			<b>)</b>	
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.88		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.97		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	<b>_</b>	,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.06		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.09		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	2.75	Very Good	(%): 53.12
10.Overall rati	ing	2.97		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: **TELUGU** Year: B. ASHOK KUMAR Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 2.89 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 2.90 G 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 2.81 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.10 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 2.62 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.95 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.81 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.86 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.95 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 47.62 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.10 10.Overall rating 2.81

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU B. PURUSHOTHAM		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.16	Grade:	3.03
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.94	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.03		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.03	1	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.09		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.97		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.06	Very Good	(%): 56.25
10.Overall rati	ng	3.06		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **TELUGU** Year: **B. PURUSHOTHAM** Name: Semester: 1/2 **Grade: 3.15** 1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.06 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 2.82 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.18 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.12 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.24 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.41 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.18 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.35 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 58.82 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.24 10.Overall rating 2.94 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU G BALA SUBRAMANYAM		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.70	Grade: 3.53
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.65	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.45	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.60	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.60	T
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.25	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.30	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.45	Very Good (%): 85.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.75	
(Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: **TELUGU** Year: 2 G BALA SUBRAMANYAM 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.54** 1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.62 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.53 V 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.66 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.44 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.59 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.47 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.47 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.47 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 81.25 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.59 10.Overall rating 3.53 Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC, SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU G NARASIMHAN		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 2 Semester: 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.87
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.91	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.94	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.88	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.75	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.84	T T
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.81	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.88	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.81	Very Good (%): 96.88
10.Overall rat	ing	3.91	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	(ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: TELUGU Name: G NARASIMHAN		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.72
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.76	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.86		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.48		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.67	F	2
issues		1	<b>7</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62		<u>•</u>
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.67		•
Charles and the same of the sa			
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.76		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.52	Very Good	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	3.90		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	E4 CTODYO	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU J. PREMCHAND		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.37	Grade: 3.16
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.11	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.26	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.21	_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.11	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.05	T
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.95	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.21	0
Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	3.05	Very Good (%): 68.42
I0.Overall rati	ng	3.26	
Overall Score : 3.0	11-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU			
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	K ON TEACH	HERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS		Academic year:	2018-19
Department: TELUGU		Year:	2
Name: J. PREMCHAND		Semester:	3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	2.92
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.84	G	•
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.88		)
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.84		
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	2.88		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97		)
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	2.94		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.81		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good	(%): 43.75
10.Overall rating	3.09		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Department:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU K. JAGADEESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge ba	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.80	Grade:	3.53
2.Communicatio	on skills of the Teacher	3.70	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Con	nmitment of the Teacher	3.45		•
4.Ability of the to	eacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50		_
	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.80	F	2
issues	The state of the s		<b>)</b>	7
6.Ability of the to	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.45	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.40		•
	000			
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ts' understanding of the course	3.25		)
9.Giving sufficie	ent time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good	(%): 85.00
10.Overall ratinզ	9	3.45		
(Overall Score : 3.01-	4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **TELUGU** Year: 2 K. JAGADEESH 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.35** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.44 2. Communication skills of the Teacher V 3.31 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.28 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.34 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.34 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.25 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.41 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.31 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 75.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.44 10.Overall rating 3.41 Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC, SKU

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS TELUGU N R SADASIVA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.89	Grade:	3.84
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.84	V	1
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.79		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84	_	<del>-</del>
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.74	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.95		_
	y of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.68		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.79		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.95	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.89		
Overall Score : 3.	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF ARTS Department: TELUGU Name: N R SADASIVA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	Grade:	3.66
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.72	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.59		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.56	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.59	F	2
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.66	)	•
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.59		•
motivate further study and discussion)			
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.66	Very Good	(%): 90.62
10.Overall rating	3.69		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATI	THE CTORY	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION B.H. ANNIE GRACE		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.09	Grade: 2.96
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.18	G
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.91	0
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.91	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	0
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.64	D
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.82	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00	
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good (%): 36.36
10.Overall rati	ng	3.18	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

ı	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK C		HERS	
Department: E	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION 3.SURESH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge ba	se of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	2.99
2.Communicatio	on skills of the Teacher	3.04	G	;
3.Sincerity/ Com	nmitment of the Teacher	3.17		
4.Ability of the te	eacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00		
5.Ability of the te issues	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.87		
6.Ability of the te	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.83		
	f the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.04		
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ts' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving sufficier	nt time for feedback from the students	2.83	Very Good	(%): 47.83
10.Overall rating		3.09		
(Overall Score : 3 01-4	1.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACT	TORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION G. SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.22	Grade:	3.02
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.33		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83	_	•
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.06	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.89	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.06		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.72		, )
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 55.56
10.Overall rati	ng	3.11		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

Director IQAC,SKU

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION GIBSONBABU PONNURI		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.71	<b>Grade: 3.39</b>
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.43	E
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.29	_
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.21	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.43	<b>"</b>
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.36	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.29	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.29	Very Good (%): 71.43
I0.Overall rati	ng	3.36	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION J.GOPI	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.90
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	R
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00	G O
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION J.GOPI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade:	3.28
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.38	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.44		<b>!</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.12	_	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.06	R	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12	<u> </u>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.25	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.38		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good	(%): 68.75
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEL Director IQAC	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
Doparamona.	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION K. NARAYANASWAMY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.92	Grade:	2.92
2.Communicati	on skills of the Teacher	3.08		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.23		)
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.77		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.85		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		)
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to r study and discussion)	2.92		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	2.85		
9.Giving suffici	ent time for feedback from the students	2.69	Very Good	(%): 53.85
0.Overall ratir	ng	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAO	•

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION  Department: EDUCATION  Name: K. SIVANANDA	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.60
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	V
S.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.00	R
3.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.00	G
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to valuate students' understanding of the course	4.00	0
.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
0.Overall rating	3.00	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION Name: K. SIVANANDA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.58	Grade:	3.32
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.42	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.53		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.26	_	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.37	F	₹
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.21	]	_
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.37		<del>,</del>
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	2.89		

Very Good (%): 68.42

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

3.213.37

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students

10.Overall rating

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI	K ON TEACHE	RS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION Name: K.P. VENKATA SUBBAIAH	Y	cademic year: ear: emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.80
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	R
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	_
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	4.00	G O
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.00	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

<b>'</b>	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK	ON TEACH	HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION K.P. VENKATA SUBBAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.45	Grade:	3.16
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.36	· ·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.86	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.27		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.91		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.14	Very Good	(%): 77.27
10.Overall rat	ing	3.18		
			Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION M. MAHABOOB BASHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.75	Grade:	2.88
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.83		•
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.17		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.83		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.83		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		,
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.92		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.75		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.92	Very Good	(%): 41.67
10.Overall rati	ng	2.92		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

Department: E	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION M. RAMA KRISHNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge ba	se of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.38	Grade:	3.29
2.Communicatio	n skills of the Teacher	3.38	\	/
.Sincerity/ Com	nmitment of the Teacher	3.38		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the te	eacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38	_	_
.Ability of the te	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.12	F	<b>?</b>
.Ability of the te	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	'	
	f the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion)	3.50		; 1
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ts' understanding of the course	3.38		
.Giving sufficier	nt time for feedback from the students	3.12	Very Good	(%): 75.00
0.Overall rating		3.25		
Overall Score : 3.01-4	1.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELI	UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM L - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACI	HERS	
College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION Name: P. BHASKAR		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2	9
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade: 2.97	
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.09	G	
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.82	0	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.09		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with e issues	nvironment/ social 2.91	0	
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other pap	pers of the course 2.91	D	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (avai motivate further study and discussion)	lability of the teacher to 2.91		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Proje evaluate students' understanding of the course	ects/Practicals to 2.82		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good (%): 54	.55
10.Overall rating	3.27		
	<u>'</u>	Prof.G.SREEDHAR,	

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION		Academic year: Year:	2018-19 1
Name:	P. RAJESHWARI		Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.55	Grade:	3.49
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.64	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.55		•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.45	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.55	F	? *
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36		
	y of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.27		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to	3.36		•

Very Good (%): 81.82

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

3.55

3.64

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

evaluate students' understanding of the course

10.Overall rating

9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students

Department: EDUC	COLLEGE OF EDUCATION CATION AMUDU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of	f the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.64
2.Communication ski	ills of the Teacher	4.00	· ·	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitm	nent of the Teacher	4.00	E	•
Ability of the teacher	er to generate interest in the subject	3.96	_	_
i.Ability of the teachers	er to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teache	er to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	•	
Accessibility of the notivate further study	teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to y and discussion)	2.96		
•	er to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to inderstanding of the course	3.44		
.Giving sufficient tim	ne for feedback from the students	2.85	Very Good	(%): 48.15
0.Overall rating		3.19		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ V	VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFAC	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION Name: RUPLA NAIK		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.00	Grade:	3.02
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.00	\ \	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.10		•
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.90	-	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.00	F	? *
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	•	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.00		
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.20		
O.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.90	Very Good	(%): 40.00
0.Overall rating	3.10		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATE	SFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION		cademic year: ear:
Name: T.N. NAGA LAKSHMI	-	emester:
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.70
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.00	R
	4.00	Y
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.00	
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	4.00	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
I0.Overall rating	4.00	
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

Department: E	KU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION DUCATION N. NAGA LAKSHMI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge bas	se of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.68	Grade:	3.46
2.Communication	n skills of the Teacher	3.63	\	7
3.Sincerity/ Com	mitment of the Teacher	3.53		•
4.Ability of the tea	acher to generate interest in the subject	3.37		_
5.Ability of the tea	acher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.32	F	R
	acher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.42	Y	7
•	the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to			;
•	study and discussion)	3.32		)
	acher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to s' understanding of the course	3.47		
9.Giving sufficien	t time for feedback from the students	3.37	Very Good	(%): 78.95
10.Overall rating		3.53		
Overall Score : 2.01.4	00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Department: EDUCATION		Academic year: Year:	2018-19 1
Name: V. ANITHA		Semester:	1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.92	Grade:	2.86
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	2.92		}
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	2.75	(	•
1.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.67		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	2.83		
6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.92		
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	2.75		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.08		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	2.75	Very Good	(%): 41.67
0.Overall rating	3.00		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION Y. SUDHAKAR	cademic year: ear: emester:	
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade: 3.80
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_
	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	R
issues			Y
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	G
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00	G
			0
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00	O
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION EDUCATION Y. SUDHAKAR		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.23	Grade: 3.19
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.31	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.31	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.08	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.08	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.08	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.23	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good (%): 53.85
10.Overall rati	ng	3.31	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

College: SKU COLLEGE OF EDUCATION Department: EDUCATION Name: Y. VARAPRASAD REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.78
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	4.00	· ·	<i>/</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.83	E	<b>:</b>
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	-	_
Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	<b>t</b>
ssues	0.00	Y	<b>7</b>
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course.	3.67		<u> </u>
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.50		•
200 m			
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.67	C	
Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.83	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
0.Overall rating	3.83		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: CHEMISTRY  Name: J SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.14	Grade:	3.17
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.11	\ \ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.19		=
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.28		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.08	- F	<b>ર</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97	1	•
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.22		
B.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.25		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.08	Very Good	(%): 50.00
0.Overall rating	3.33		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSAT	ISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY J SREERAMULU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.66	Grade:	3.39
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.28	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.64		•
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.31		_
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.31	F	2
ssues		0.01	<b>)</b>	7
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.28		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.31		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.28		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.26	Very Good	(%): 72.41
0.Overall rati	ng	3.52		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: CHEMISTRY Name: K ANITHA			Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived b	y you)	3.58	Grade:	3.34
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.61	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher		3.36		<b>=</b>
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the	subject	3.22		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course materia	al with environment/ social	3.33	F	3
ssues	The same of the sa		Y	<b>7</b>
Ability of the teacher to integrate content with o	ther papers of the course	3.22		•
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the clanotivate further study and discussion)	ss (availability of the teacher to	3.11		•
000	103			
Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignment about the teacher to design Tests/Assignment about the course	nts/Projects/Practicals to	3.28		
.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the stud	lents	3.22	Very Good	(%): 72.22
0.Overall rating		3.44		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.5	L-2 00 SATISEACTORY: <=1 50 ~ LINGATISEAC	TORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: CHEMISTRY  Name: K ANITHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.57	Grade:	3.42
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.68	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.36		<b>=</b>
Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.46		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social ssues	3.43	_	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.27	1	
Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to notivate further study and discussion)	3.39		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.21		
Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good	(%): 83.93
0.Overall rating	3.48		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY K HUSSAIN REDDY		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.84	Grade: 3.61
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.65	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.78	E
I.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.51	-
5.Ability of the ssues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.47	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44	T
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.64	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.58	0
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.47	Very Good (%): 90.91
0.Overall rati	ng	3.76	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY K HUSSAIN REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.69	Grade:	3.48
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.47	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.47		_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.42	F	2
ssues		0.12	Y	7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.39		•
	er study and discussion)			
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.42		)
Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good	(%): 86.11
10.Overall rati	ing	3.53		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY K SUDHAKAR BABU		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	Grade: 3.49
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.65	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.24	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.65	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.29	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.47	T T
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.47	G
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.38	Very Good (%): 83.64
10.Overall rat	ing	3.56	
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKIL COLLEGE OF SCIENCES

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY K SUDHAKAR BABU		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.08	Grade:	3.06
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.19	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.94		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.06		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.97	1	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.08		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.92		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.06	Very Good	(%): 52.78
10.Overall rat	ing	3.25		
(Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	•

Department: CHEMI	OLLEGE OF SCIENCES STRY SUBHA	`	Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of t	he teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	Grade:	3.32
2.Communication skills	s of the Teacher	3.45	\ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitme	nt of the Teacher	3.36	E	•
Ability of the teacher	to generate interest in the subject	3.15		_
5.Ability of the teacher	to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.25	F	R
ssues		0.20	Y	7
6.Ability of the teacher	to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.19	_ ا	•
•	eacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.21		•
notivate further study	000			
-	to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to erstanding of the course	3.15	0	)
9.Giving sufficient time	for feedback from the students	3.36	Very Good	(%): 81.13
10.Overall rating		3.45		
Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VF	RRY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	SFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY M C S SUBHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.17	Grade:	3.07
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.14	\ \ \ \	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.00		<b>=</b>
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17		_
	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.03	F	<b>t</b>
ssues	THE PARTY OF THE P	0.00	\ \ \	<b>7</b>
3.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.94		•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.09		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.06		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.91	Very Good	(%): 57.14
0.Overall rati	ng	3.17		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	.CTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY P RAVINDRA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.72	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.66		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.68		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.52	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	'	1
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.38		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.44	Very Good	(%): 92.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.70		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY P RAVINDRA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	Grade:	3.28
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.39	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.30		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.18	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.18	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.15		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.30		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.21	Very Good	(%): 63.64
10.Overall rati	ng	3.30		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY P VENKATA RAMANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.54	Grade:	3.43
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.31	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.54		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.43		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.31	F	? ,
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.37		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.26		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.54		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good	(%): 80.00
10.Overall rat	ing	3.60		
Overall Score : 3.0	)1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY P VENKATA RAMANA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.87	Grade:	3.67
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.71	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.84		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.76	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.62	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.40		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.56		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.64		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 89.09
10.Overall rati	ng	3.73		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY V KRISHNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.33	Grade:	3.17
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.21	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.25		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.17	_	_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.12	F	? ,
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.12		
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.92		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good	(%): 62.50
10.Overall rat	ing	3.08		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES CHEMISTRY V KRISHNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.29	Grade:	3.15
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.29	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.29	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.93	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	<b>"</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.29	G	
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.21		
9.Giving suffic	ent time for feedback from the students	2.86	Very Good	(%): 50.00
10.Overall ratio	ng	3.29		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS B. ASHRAF		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.76	Grade:	3.53
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.48	·	<i>/</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher		3.43		<b>=</b>
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject		3.62	_	•
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.38	F	₹ <b>7</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	<u>'</u>	_
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)		3.29	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.76		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good	(%): 90.48
10.Overall rati	ng	3.71		
	-		Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS B. BILVIKA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.43	Grade:	3.31
2.Communication skills of the Teacher 3.24		3.24	\	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.48		3.48		<b>=</b>
4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.33		3.33	_	_
5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		R		
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.14	)	<b>(</b>
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)  3.05				
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.33		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good	(%): 66.67
10.Overall rati	ng	3.38		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01\text{-}4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01\text{-}3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51\ \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
Department:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge b	ase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.81	Grade:	3.69
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.90	\	<i> </i>
S.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	3.81		<b>=</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.71	_	_
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.71	F	<b>?</b>
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.57	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to r study and discussion)	3.43		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to nts' understanding of the course	3.52		
.Giving sufficion	ent time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good	(%): 95.24
0.Overall ratin	ng	3.76		
Overall Score : 3.01	-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS P. THIMMAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.95	Grade:	3.78
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.90	V	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher		3.81	E	
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.86	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.67	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.71		;
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.71		,
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.76	Very Good	(%): 95.24
10.Overall rati	ng	3.81		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS R. HARINI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.52	Grade:	3.39
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.43	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.48	E	•
Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.24		
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.33	F	_
.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.29	•	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.24	G	
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.48		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.43	Very Good	(%): 66.67
0.Overall rati	ng	3.43		
Overall Score · 3 0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE.	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		ERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES ELECTRONICS U. MEENAKSHI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.48	Grade:	3.41
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.43	\ \ \ \ \	<i> </i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.48		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.48	_	
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.24	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.38	·	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.38		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.29		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.48	Very Good	(%): 80.95
10.Overall rati	ng	3.48		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTA INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBA		HERS
College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES Department: ELECTRONICS Name: U. SUNITHA		Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.90	Grade: 3.68
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.90	V
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71	E
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.67	_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.52	R
issues		Y
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	G
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.48	9
(2)		0
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.57	0
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.71	Very Good (%): 95.24
10.Overall rating	3.76	

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS A MALLIKARJUNA REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge k	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.65
2.Communicat	ion skills of the Teacher	3.00	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	mmitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.50	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	R 7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00		_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00		; )
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ent time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall ration	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **MATHEMATICS** Year: 2 A. NARESH Name: Semester: 3/4 **Grade: 3.55** 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.50 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.50 E 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.50 R 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.00 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 4.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.50 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.50 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.00 10.Overall rating 4.00 Prof.G.SREEDHAR,

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS G SHOBHA LATHA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.85
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	V	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00	E	•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	4.00	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	4.00	<b>"</b>	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50	G	<b>i</b>
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS K. RAJANI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.70
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	ļ ,	₹ •
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.00		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rat	ing	4.00		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEAC	CHERS	
Department: N	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS NAGARAJU	Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge ba	se of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00	Grade:	3.60
2.Communicatio	on skills of the Teacher 3.50	\	/
3.Sincerity/ Com	nmitment of the Teacher 4.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the te	eacher to generate interest in the subject 3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the to issues	eacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.50		<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the te	eacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.00	'	
	f the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to study and discussion) 4.00		
	eacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ts' understanding of the course 3.50		
9.Giving sufficie	nt time for feedback from the students 3.50	Very Good	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rating	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4	4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA(	

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01\text{-}4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01\text{-}3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51\ \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACI		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS R SIVA PRASAD		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.75
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	4.00	\	<i>/</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>=</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	4.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.50	F	<b>₹</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.00	<u>'</u>	_
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.50		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving suffic	eient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES MATHEMATICS SHAIK SHARMILA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.50	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.50	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.00	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.50	•	-
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	4.00		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.50		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	4.00	Very Good (	%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
	-		Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

## SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS K. RAGHAVENDRA RAO		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.79	Grade:	3.60
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.72	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.77		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.70		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.49	'	
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.42		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.53		•
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.44	Very Good	(%): 83.72
10.Overall rat	ing	3.70		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM

#### INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES College: 2018-19 Academic year: Department: **PHYSICS** Year: 2 K. RAGHAVENDRA RAO 3/4 Name: Semester: **Grade: 3.17** 1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.48 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.52 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.60 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.38

5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.78 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.00 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.88 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.98 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 70.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 2.78 10.Overall rating 3.28 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

## SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS K. RAMAGOPAL		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.88	Grade:	3.69
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.58	\ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ C	ommitment of the Teacher	3.84	E	•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.84		_
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.70	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.44	Y	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.47		
•	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.72		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.56	Very Good	(%): 93.02
10.Overall rat	ing	3.86		
Overall Score : 3 (	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM
INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS K. RAMAGOPAL		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       2         Semester:       3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.75	Grade: 3.52
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.42	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.80	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.58	_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.60	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.48	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.35	G
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.28	0
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.32	Very Good (%): 85.00
10.Overall rati	ing	3.58	
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

## SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL OLIALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS L. SIVASANKAR REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.55	Grade:	2.61
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	2.52		<b>;</b>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.35		<b>,</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.50		
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	2.92		
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.68	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.80		
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.55		
9.Giving suffic	sient time for feedback from the students	2.68	Very Good	(%): 35.00
10.Overall rati	ing	2.55		
Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS L. SIVASANKAR REDDY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.28	Grade:	3.04
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	·	7
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	2.98		•
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.93	_	-
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.16	F	<b>K</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.95		
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.09		<b>,</b>
•	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.95		)
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 53.49
10.Overall rati	ng	3.02		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEI Director IQAC	

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL OHALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS EFEDRACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES PHYSICS M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.67	Grade:	3.50
2.Communica	ition skills of the Teacher	3.54	\ \ \ \ \ \	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.77		•
4.Ability of the	e teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.54	<b>_</b>	•
5.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	e teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.36		
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.36		
	e teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.31		
9.Giving suffic	cient time for feedback from the students	3.46	Very Good	(%): 87.18
10.Overall rat	ing	3.59		
(Overall Score : 3.0	01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISI	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES Academic year: College: 2018-19 Department: **PHYSICS** Year: M. VENKATA LAKSHMAIAH Name: Semester: 1/2 Grade: 3.80 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.95 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.79 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.84 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.88 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.84 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.70 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.72 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.70 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 97.67 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.67 10.Overall rating 3.88 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SC Department: PHYSICS Name: R. JEEVAN KUMAR	CIENCES		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as p	perceived by you)	3.60	Grade:	3.40
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	<i>A</i>	3.45	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teache	er	3.50		•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate inte	rest in the subject	3.50		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate cou	rse material with environment/ social	3.31	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate con	tent with other papers of the course	3.19	)	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and ou motivate further study and discussion)	t of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.29		
3.Ability of the teacher to design Tests, evaluate students' understanding of the		3.26		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback fro	om the students	3.45	Very Good	(%): 69.05
10.Overall rating		3.45		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD: 2.01-3.00	) ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATIS	FACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **PHYSICS** Year: 2 R. JEEVAN KUMAR 3/4 Name: Semester: Grade: 2.95 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 3.15 G 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.23 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.18 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 2.90 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 2.79 issues 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 2.67 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 2.85 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 2.74 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 46.15 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 2.95 10.Overall rating 3.03 Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC, SKU (Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

## SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES  Department: PHYSICS  Name: T. SUBBA RAO		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.83	Grade:	3.64
2.Communication skills of the Teacher	3.64	· ·	1
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher	3.71	E	•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.60		_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues	3.64	F	<b>.</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.55	) Y	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)	3.69		
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course	3.48		
9.Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students	3.55	Very Good	(%): 88.10
I0.Overall rating	3.67		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY	FACTORY	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

3.36 3.18 3.28 2.90 2.77	Grade:	3 )
3.28 2.90		
2.90		
2.77		_
	I	
2.82	_	,
2.82		
2.95		
2.77	Very Good	(%): 43.59
2.95		
	2.95 2.77	2.95 2.77 Very Good

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPL INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES POLYMER SCIENCE K.CHOWDOJI RAO		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	4.00	Grade:	3.88
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.88	\	<i>1</i>
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	4.00		<b>:</b>
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.75		_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.75	F	<b>R</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.62	'	
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.88		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	4.00		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.88	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	4.00		
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISE	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by

G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPLINTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		HERS
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES POLYMER SCIENCE K.HUSSAIN REDDY		Academic year:       2018-19         Year:       1         Semester:       1/2
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.62	Grade: 3.51
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.00	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50	E
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.38	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.88	R
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.75	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.62	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.25	0
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.50	Very Good (%): 75.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.62	
(Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISF	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

# SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS K. PUSHPANJALI	,	Academic year: 2018-19 Year: 1 Semester: 1/2
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.44	Grade: 3.21
2.Communicat	tion skills of the Teacher	3.31	V
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.50	E
1.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.06	_
5.Ability of the	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00	R
	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.75	Y
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	3.12	G
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.38	0
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.25	Very Good (%): 68.75
0.Overall rati	ng	3.31	
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS K. PUSHPANJALI		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge I	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	2.94	Grade:	2.95
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.06		}
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.29		)
4.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	2.82		
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.00		
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.65	_	•
	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to er study and discussion)	2.88		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	2.94		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	2.94	Very Good	(%): 41.18
10.Overall rati	ng	3.00		
(Overall Score · 2 0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD: 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD: 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY: <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	CTORY	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	•

## SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS

College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS P MD AKHTAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge I	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.94	Grade:	3.64
2.Communica	tion skills of the Teacher	3.83	\	1
3.Sincerity/ Co	ommitment of the Teacher	3.72		•
I.Ability of the	teacher to generate interest in the subject	3.72		_
•	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.44	F	R
ssues		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		7
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	3.61		•
•	of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to	3.56		•
	er study and discussion)			
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.56		
Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.33	Very Good	(%): 94.44
10.Overall rati	ng	3.72		
Overall Score : 3.0	1-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFA	ACTORY)	Prof.G.SREE Director IQA0	

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPI INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBAC		IERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES STATISTICS P MD AKHTAR		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 2 3/4
1.Knowledge l	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.24	Grade:	3.08
2.Communication skills of the Teacher 3.47			\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 2.94			•	
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.35				_
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues 2.8		2.88	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the	teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course	2.71	<u>'</u>	_
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)  3.06				
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.06		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.00	Very Good	(%): 52.94
10.Overall rati	ng	3.06		
(Overall Score : 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)			Prof.G.SREE Director IQAC	

SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS						
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES USIC & INSTRUMENTATION B RAMA MURTHY		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2		
1.Knowledge	pase of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.92	Grade:	3.78		
2.Communication skills of the Teacher 3.69			V	<i>l</i>		
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.77		3.77				
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.8		3.85		_		
5.Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social issues		3.77	F	? ,		
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.4						
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)		3.77				
8.Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to evaluate students' understanding of the course		3.85				
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.77	Very Good (	(%): 100.00		
10.Overall rati	ng	3.92				
			Prof.G.SREEI	DHAR.		

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

	SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY : ANANTAPU INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK		HERS	
College: Department: Name:	SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES USIC & INSTRUMENTATION C NAGARAJA		Academic year: Year: Semester:	2018-19 1 1/2
1.Knowledge	base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	3.85	Grade:	3.68
2.Communication skills of the Teacher		3.69	\	<i>[</i>
3.Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher		3.77		•
4.Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject		3.69	_	_
5.Ability of the issues	teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social	3.62	F	<b>?</b>
6.Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course		3.31	'	
7.Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion)		3.77		
	teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to ents' understanding of the course	3.62		
9.Giving suffic	ient time for feedback from the students	3.69	Very Good (	(%): 100.00
10.Overall rati	ng	3.77		
			Prof.G.SREE	DHAR,

 $(Overall\ Score: 3.01-4.00 \sim VERY\ GOOD;\ 2.01-3.00 \sim GOOD;\ 1.51 \sim 2.00\ SATISFACTORY; <= 1.50 \sim UNSATISFACTORY)$ 

#### SRI KRISHNADEVARAYA UNIVERSITY: ANANTAPURAM INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE CELL - STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON TEACHERS SKU COLLEGE OF SCIENCES College: Academic year: 2018-19 Department: **USIC & INSTRUMENTATION** Year: 1 K NAGABHUSHANA RAJU 1/2 Name: Semester: Grade: 3.83 1. Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4.00 2. Communication skills of the Teacher 3.92 3. Sincerity/ Commitment of the Teacher 3.83 E 4. Ability of the teacher to generate interest in the subject 3.83 R 5. Ability of the teacher to integrate course material with environment/ social 3.75 6. Ability of the teacher to integrate content with other papers of the course 3.75 7. Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class (availability of the teacher to 3.75 motivate further study and discussion) 8. Ability of the teacher to design Tests/Assignments/Projects/Practicals to 3.83 evaluate students' understanding of the course Very Good (%): 100.00 9. Giving sufficient time for feedback from the students 3.83 10.Overall rating 3.83

Students Feedback System - software designed and developed by G.VIJAY KUMAR, Dept. of CSE, College of Engineering and Technology, Sri Krishnadevaraya University

(Overall Score: 3.01-4.00 ~ VERY GOOD; 2.01-3.00 ~ GOOD; 1.51 ~ 2.00 SATISFACTORY; <=1.50 ~ UNSATISFACTORY)

Prof.G.SREEDHAR, Director IQAC,SKU